arXiv:1404.4918v3 [math.HO] 28 Jul 2014

Eight lectures on qudratic reciprocity

Chandan Singh Dalawat

Si p est numerus primus formae $4n+1$, erit $+p$, si vero p formae $4n+3$, erit $-p$ residuum vel non residuum cuiusuis numeri primi qui positive acceptus ipsius p est residuum vel non residuum.

— Carl Friedrich Gauß, *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*, 1801, §131.

Legendre a deviné la formule et Gauss est devenu instatanément célèbre en la prouvant. En trouver des généralisations, par exemple aux anneaux d'entiers algébriques, ou d'autres démonstrations a constitué un sport national pour la dynastie allemande suscité par Gauss jusqu'à ce que le reste du monde, à commencer par le Japonais Takagi en 1920 et à continuer par Chevalley une dizaine d'années plus tard, découvre le sujet et, après 1945, le fasse exploser. Gouverné par un Haut Commissariat qui surveille rigoureusement l'alignement de ses Grandes Pyramides, c'est aujourd'hui l'un des domaines les plus respectés des Mathématiques.

— Roger Godement, *Analyse mathématique* IV, 2003, p. 313.

Lecture 1

$$\lambda_p(q) = \lambda_q(\lambda_4(p)p)$$

(1) The group $\mathbf{Z}^\times$ of invertible elements of the ring $\mathbf{Z}$ consists of 1 and -1, and will sometimes be thought of as a (1-dimensional) vector space over the field $\mathbf{F}_2$ (with the unique basis -1).

(2) Let G be a group. A *character* (of G) of order dividing 2 is a homomorphism $\chi : \mathrm{G} \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$, so an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$. Such a χ will be called a *quadratic character* (of G) if it is surjective.

(3) The groups of interest will initially be the groups $\mathrm{G}_m = (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^\times$ of invertible elements in the rings $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$ for $m > 0$. The groups G_1 and G_2 are trivial. For $m > 2$, we will often identify $\mathbf{Z}^\times$ with its image in G_m. Recall that if $\gcd(m, m') = 1$, then the canonical map $\mathrm{G}_{mm'} \to \mathrm{G}_m \times \mathrm{G}_{m'}$ is an isomorphism (as a consequence of the "Chinese remainder theorem").

(4) Let p be a prime number. We denote by $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ the smallest subring of $\mathbf{Q}$ containing l^{-1} for every prime $l \neq p$. Let A be a ring. There is a homomorphism of rings $f : \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \to \mathrm{A}$ if and only if $l \in \mathrm{A}^\times$ for every prime $l \neq p$. If f exists, it is unique.

(5) Every $a \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ can be uniquely written as $a = p^r\alpha$ with $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$. We define $v_p(a) = r$, and note that $v_p : \mathbf{Q}^\times \to \mathbf{Z}$ is a surjective homomorphism satisfying $v_p(a + b) \geq \mathrm{Inf}(v_p(a), v_p(b))$ (where $v_p(0) = +\infty$ by convention), with equality if $v_p(a) \neq v_p(b)$. We have $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \Leftrightarrow v_p(a) \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times \Leftrightarrow v_p(a) = 0$.

(6) For every $n > 0$, there is a canonical map $\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}/p^n\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ of rings, and the universal property of $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ provides a map in the other direction, so the two rings are canonically isomorphic. The resulting morphism of groups $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times \to \mathrm{G}_{p^n}$ is surjective for every $n > 0$. Thus, every $\mathbf{F}_p$-space can be viewed as a $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$-module ; in particular, $\mathbf{Z}^\times$ can be viewed as a $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$-module, giving a meaning to the expression $(-1)^a$ for every $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$.

(7) Recall that for every prime p, the group $\mathrm{G}_p = \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ is *cyclic* of order $p - 1$. If $p = 2p' + 1$ is odd, then $p - 1 = 2p'$ is even, so there is a unique quadratic character $\lambda_p : \mathbf{F}_p^\times \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$, and $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda_p) = \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}$. One can view λ_p as a quadratic character of G_{p^n} (for every $n > 0$) and of $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$ via the surjections of these groups onto $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$. We shall see later that λ_p is the only quadratic character of G_{p^n} ($n > 0$, $p \neq 2$).

(8) Concretely, for every odd prime p and for every $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$, we have $\lambda_p(a) = +1$ if and only if $a \equiv x^2 \pmod{p}$ for some $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$; otherwise,

$\lambda_p(a) = -1$.

(9) The map $\mathbf{Z}^\times \to (\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z})^\times$ is an isomorphism. We denote the reciprocal isomorphism by $\lambda_4 : (\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z})^\times \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$. We can view λ_4 as a quadratic character of G_{2^n} (for every $n > 1$) and of $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$ via the surjections of these groups onto $(\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z})^\times$. Concretely, for every $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$, we have

$$\lambda_4(a) = (-1)^{\frac{a-1}{2}} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } a \equiv +1 \pmod 4, \\ -1 & \text{if } a \equiv -1 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$, then $a - 1 \in 2\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$, so $(-1)^{\frac{a-1}{2}}$ has a meaning (???).

(10) When we view $\mathbf{Z}^\times$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{G}_8 = (\mathbf{Z}/8\mathbf{Z})^\times$, the quotient $\mathrm{G}_8/\mathbf{Z}^\times$ has order 2, and hence it has a unique quadratic character $\lambda_8 : \mathrm{G}_8/\mathbf{Z}^\times \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$. We can view λ_8 as a quadratic character of G_{2^n} (for every $n > 2$) and of $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$ via the surjections of these groups onto G_8 and thence onto $\mathrm{G}_8/\mathbf{Z}^\times$. It can be easily checked that, for every $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$,

$$\lambda_8(a) = (-1)^{\frac{a^2-1}{8}} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8, \\ -1 & \text{if } a \equiv \pm 5 \pmod 8. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$, then $a^2 - 1 \in 8\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$, so $(-1)^{\frac{a^2-1}{8}}$ has a meaning (???). When $a = 2a' + 1$ for some $a' \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$, the definition amounts to

$$\lambda_8(a) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{a'} & \text{if } a' \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4, \\ (-1)^{a'-1} & \text{if } a' \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

It can also be easily verified that $\lambda_8(a) = (-1)^{\frac{\lambda_4(a)a-1}{4}}$ for every $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$.

(11) Notice that the only quadratic characters of $\mathrm{G}_8 = (\mathbf{Z}/8\mathbf{Z})^\times$ are λ_4, λ_8 and $\lambda_4\lambda_8$. Of these, only λ_4 comes from a quadratic characters of $\mathrm{G}_4 = (\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z})^\times$, and only λ_8 is even in the sense that $\lambda_8(-1) = +1$. Note that λ_4, λ_8 is a basis of the $\mathbf{F}_2$-space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{G}_8, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$. We shall see later that for every $n > 2$, the only quadratic characters of G_{2^n} are λ_4, λ_8 and $\lambda_4\lambda_8$.

(12) Incidentally, the unique quadratic character λ_∞ of $\mathbf{R}^\times$ is given by $\lambda_\infty(a) = a/|a|_\infty$, where $|a|_\infty = \mathrm{Sup}(a, -a)$, and notice that $a \in \mathbf{R}^\times$ is a square if and only if $\lambda_\infty(a) = +1$, if and only if $a > 0$. In view of $\mathbf{R}^\times = \mathbf{Z}^\times \times \mathbf{R}_+^\times$, the uniqueness of λ_∞ follows from the fact that every character $\chi : \mathbf{R}_+^\times \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$ is trivial : $\chi(a) = \chi(\sqrt{a})^2 = 1$. The character λ_∞ is sometimes denoted sgn (for the Latin *signum*).

(13) The group $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$ is generated by -1 and the set of primes l distinct from p, so any homomorphism $\lambda : \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times \to \mathrm{H}$ (H being a group) is uniquely

determined by $\lambda(-1)$ and the $\lambda(l)$. When $p = 2$, we have explicit formulæ for λ_4 and λ_8 (and hence also for $\lambda_4\lambda_8$, namely

$$\lambda_4\lambda_8(a) = (-1)^{\frac{a-1}{4}+\frac{a^2-1}{8}}$$

for every $a \in \mathbf{Z}^\times_{(2)}$). When p is an odd prime, λ_p is completely determined by $\lambda_p(-1)$, $\lambda_p(2)$ and the $\lambda_p(q)$ for every odd primes $q \neq p$.

(14) Let $p = 2p' + 1$ be an odd prime. The *quadratic reciprocity law* asserts that

$$\lambda_p(-1) = \lambda_4(p), \quad \lambda_p(2) = \lambda_8(p), \quad \text{and } \lambda_p(q) = \lambda_q(\lambda_4(p)p)$$

for every odd prime $q = 2q' + 1$ distinct from p. It was discovered by Euler and independently by Legendre, who gave a partial proof, and it was finally proved by Gauß at the age of 18. Gauß called it the *theorema fundamentale* and gave at least five, six, seven, or eight different proofs — the count depending on the historian consulted — during the course of his life. We shall give below one of the simplest proofs.

(15) The quotation from the *Disquitiones arithmeticae* (§131) of Gauß

Si p est numerus primus formae $4n + 1$, erit $+p$, si vero p formae $4n + 3$, erit $-p$ residuum vel non residuum cuiusuis numeri primi qui positive acceptus ipsius p est residuum vel non residuum.

can be translated into our notation as $\lambda_p(q) = \lambda_q(\lambda_4(p)p)$.

(16) (Euler) *Let $p = 2p' + 1$ be an odd prime. We have $\lambda_p(a) = a^{p'}$ for every $a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. Equivalently, $\lambda_p(a) \equiv a^{p'} \pmod{p}$ for every $a \in \mathbf{Z}^\times_{(p)}$.*

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$, and let $r \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ be a generator (an element of order $p - 1 = 2p'$, or a "primitive root modulo p") ; we have $r^{p'} = -1$ (because $r^{2p'} = 1$ and $r^{p'} \neq 1$). Write $a = r^n$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ (uniquely determined mod. $2p'$, and hence mod. 2) and note that $\lambda_p(a) = +1$ if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Also, $a^{p'} = r^{np'} = (-1)^n$, which equals $+1$ if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Hence $\lambda_p(a) = a^{p'}$. □

(17) Applying Euler's criterion (??) to $a = -1$ we get the supplementary law $\lambda_p(-1) = (-1)^{p'} = \lambda_4(p)$. Another easy consequence is that for every odd prime $q = 2q' + 1$,

$$\lambda_q(\lambda_4(p)) = \lambda_q((-1)^{p'}) = (-1)^{p'q'},$$

which explains why the law $\lambda_p(q) = \lambda_q(\lambda_4(p)p)$ (for q distinct from p) is often written as $\lambda_p(q) = (-1)^{p'q'}\lambda_q(p)$.

(18) A character $\chi : \mathrm{G}_m \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$ will be called *even* if $\chi(-1) = 1$, *odd* if $\chi(-1) = -1$. Among the quadrtic character we have named, λ_8 is even, λ_4 and $\lambda_4\lambda_8$ are odd, and, for an odd prime p, the character λ_p is even if $\lambda_4(p) = 1$, odd if $\lambda_4(p) = -1$ (??). We also agree to call λ_∞ (??) odd because $\lambda_\infty(-1) = -1$.

(19) We say that a subset $\mathrm{S} \subset \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ is a *section* if the canonical projection modulo $\mathbf{Z}^\times$ induces a bijection $\mathrm{S} \to \mathbf{F}_p^\times/\mathbf{Z}^\times$ of sets. Every section has p' elements, and the map $(e, x) \mapsto ex$ is a bijection $\mathbf{Z}^\times \times \mathrm{S} \to \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. Every $a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ uniquely determines a map $e_a : \mathrm{S} \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$ and a permutation $\sigma_a : \mathrm{S} \to \mathrm{S}$ such that $ax = e_a(x)\sigma_a(x)$ for every $x \in \mathrm{S}$. The simplest section is $\mathrm{S} = [1, p']$, and there are $2^{p'}$ sections in all.

(20) (Gauß) *Let* $\mathrm{S} \subset \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ *be a section (??). We have* $\lambda_p(a) = \prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} e_a(x)$ *for every* $a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$.

Proof. It is sufficient (??) to prove that $a^{p'} = \prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} e_a(x)$. Multiplying together the relations $ax = e_a(x)\sigma_a(x)$ (for $x \in \mathrm{S}$), we get

$$a^{p'} \prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} x = \prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} e_a(x)\sigma_a(x) = \prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} e_a(x). \prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} \sigma_a(x) = \prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} e_a(x). \prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} x$$

and hence the result, because $\prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} x$ is invertible in $\mathbf{F}_p$. □

(21) Let us prove the second supplementary law $\lambda_p(2) = \lambda_8(p)$. Take the section $\mathrm{S} = [1, p']$ and take $a = 2$ in (??). If $p' = 4n$ or $p' = 4n + 1$ for some $n > 0$, then, for all $x \in \mathrm{S}$,

$$e_2(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x \in [1, 2n], \\ -1 & \text{if } x \notin [1, 2n], \end{cases}$$

so $\prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} e_2(x) = (-1)^{p'-2n} = (-1)^{p'}$. Similarly, if $p' = 4n+2$ or $p' = 4n+3$ for some $n > 0$, then

$$e_2(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x \in [1, 2n+1], \\ -1 & \text{if } x \notin [1, 2n+1], \end{cases}$$

so $\prod_{x\in\mathrm{S}} e_2(x) = (-1)^{p'-(2n+1)} = (-1)^{p'-1}$. We have seen that

$$\lambda_p(2) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{p'} & \text{if } p' \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4, \\ (-1)^{p'-1} & \text{if } p' \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Comparison with (??) leads to the desired result : $\lambda_p(2) = \lambda_8(p)$. □

(22) Let us prove that $\lambda_p(q) = (-1)^{p'q'}\lambda_q(p)$ for any two distinct odd primes $p = 2p' + 1$ and $q = 2q' + 1$. The idea is to compute the product of

all elements in $(\mathbf{F}_p^\times \times \mathbf{F}_q^\times)/\mathbf{Z}^\times$ (where $\mathbf{Z}^\times \subset (\mathbf{F}_p^\times \times \mathbf{F}_q^\times)$ is embedded diagonally) in two different ways, by taking two different systems of representatives. This proof is inspired by (??) and was given by Rousseau in 1991. Another proof, directly based upon (??), is given in the exercises ; it is due to Frobenius in 1914, and goes back to the fifth proof of Gauß.

(23) One system of representatives is $[1, p-1] \times [1, q'] \subset (\mathbf{F}_p^\times \times \mathbf{F}_q^\times)$. So the representatives are

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (1,1), & (1,2), & \cdots, & (1,q'), \\ (2,1), & (2,2), & \cdots, & (2,q'), \\ \cdots, & \cdots, & \cdots, & \cdots, \\ (p-1,1), & (p-1,2), & \cdots, & (p-1,q'), \end{array}$$

and their product is visibly $((p-1)!^{q'}, q'!^{p-1})$. Notices that $(p-1)! = -1$ in $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$ ("Wilson's theorem", which can be proved by taking the product of all elements in $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$), and that

$$q'!^{p-1} = (q'!^2)^{p'} = ((-1)^{q'}(q-1)!)^{p'} = ((-1)^{q'}(-1))^{p'} = (-1)^{p'q'+p'}$$

in $\mathbf{F}_q^\times$, so the product of all the representatives is $((-1)^{q'}, (-1)^{p'q'+p'})$, which is equivalent (under $\mathbf{Z}^\times$) to

$$(1, (-1)^{p'q'+p'+q'}).$$

(24) Secondly, note that for every integer $m > 0$, the set of integers in $[1, m]$ which are prime to $n = 2m+1$ is a system of representatives in $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times$ for $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times/\mathbf{Z}^\times$. Take $m = q'p + p' = p'q + q'$, so that $n = pq$ and we have an isomorphism of groups $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times \to \mathbf{F}_p^\times \times \mathbf{F}_q^\times$ (??) inducing the identity on the subgroups $\mathbf{Z}^\times$. This gives our second system of representatives : the set of integers in $[1, m]$ which are prime to pq.

(25) Their product in $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$ is computed by first computing the product of all integers in $[1, m]$ which are prime to p, namely

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1, & 2, & \cdots, & (p-1), \\ p+1, & p+2, & \cdots, & p+(p-1), \\ \cdots, & \cdots, & \cdots, & \cdots, \\ (q'-1)p+1, & (q'-1)p+2, & \cdots, & (q'-1)p+(p-1), \end{array}$$

and $q'p+1, q'p+2, \cdots, q'p+p'$, and then dividing by the product of all multiples of q in $[1, m]$. The product, in $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$, of all these prime-to-p elements of $[1, m]$ is $(p-1)!^{q'}p'! = (-1)^{q'}p'!$. Also, the multiples of q in $[1, m]$ are

$$1.q,\ 2.q,\ \cdots,\ p'.q$$

and their product in $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$ is $p'!q^{p'} = p'!\lambda_p(q)$, so the product, in $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$, of all integers in $[1, m]$ which are prime to pq is

$$\frac{(-1)^{q'}p'!}{p'!\lambda_p(q)} = (-1)^{q'}\lambda_p(q).$$

Similarly, the product, in $\mathbf{F}_q^\times$, of all integers in $[1, m]$ which are prime to pq is $(-1)^{p'}\lambda_q(p)$.

(26) So the product, in $\mathbf{F}_p^\times \times \mathbf{F}_q^\times$, of this system of representatives (of $(\mathbf{F}_p^\times \times \mathbf{F}_q^\times)/\mathbf{Z}^\times$ in $\mathbf{F}_p^\times \times \mathbf{F}_q^\times$, namely the set of integers in $[1, m]$ which are prime to $pq = 2m + 1$) is $((-1)^{q'}\lambda_p(q), (-1)^{p'}\lambda_q(p))$, which is equivalent (under $\mathbf{Z}^\times$) to

$$(1, (-1)^{p'+q'}\lambda_p(q)\lambda_q(p)).$$

Comparing this result with (??) gives $\lambda_p(q)\lambda_q(p) = (-1)^{p'q'}$. □

Exercises

(27) Let $p = 2p' + 1$ be an odd prime. In the lemma (??), take S to be section $[1, p'] \subset \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ and take $a = q$, where $q = 2q' + 1$ is an odd prime distinct from p, to conclude that $\lambda_p(q) = (-1)^{\mathrm{M}}$, where M is the number of pairs $(x, y) \in [1, p'] \times [1, q']$ such that $qx - py \in [-p', -1]$. (*Hint :* The relation $e_q(x) = -1$ is equivalent to the existence of a $y \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $qx - py \in [-p', -1]$. This y, if it exists, is unique. Show that $y \in [1, q']$.)

(28) Similarly prove that $\lambda_q(p) = (-1)^{\mathrm{N}}$, where N is the number of pairs $(x, y) \in [1, p'] \times [1, q']$ such that $qx - py \in [1, q']$ and hence $\lambda_p(q)\lambda_q(p) = (-1)^{\mathrm{M+N}}$. Show that there is no pair $(x, y) \in [1, p'] \times [1, q']$ such that $qx - py = 0$, so the exponent $\mathrm{M} + \mathrm{N}$ is also the number of pairs such that $qx - py \in [-p', q']$.

(29) Show that the map $(x, y) \mapsto (p'+1-x, q'+1-y)$ is an involution of $[1, p'] \times [1, q']$ inducing a bijection between the set of pairs (x, y) satisfying $qx - py < -p'$ with the set of pairs (x, y) satisfying $qx - py > q'$, using the identity

$$q(p' + 1 - x) - p(q' + 1 - y) = -(qx - py) - p' + q'.$$

(30) Conclude that $\mathrm{M} + \mathrm{N} \equiv p'q' \pmod 2$, thereby proving the law $\lambda_p(q)\lambda_q(p) = (-1)^{p'q'}$.

(31) (Bost, 2012). It is known that $p = 2^{43112609} - 1$ is a prime number ; it was in fact the largest prime known in the year 2012. Compute $\lambda_p(2012)$.

(*Hint :* If a prime p and an $a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ are fixed, then a^n depends only on $n \pmod{p-1}$, and can be computed by repeated squarings if we know the base-2 expansion of n.)

(32) We have the prime decomposition $2012 = 2^2.503$, therefore $\lambda_p(2012) = \lambda_p(503)$. Show that $2^{43112609} \equiv 92 \pmod{503}$, and hence $p \equiv 91 \pmod{503}$. (*Hint :* As $43112609 \equiv 347 \pmod{502}$, we have $2^{43112609} \equiv 2^{347} \pmod{503}$, and as $347 = 1+2+2^3+2^4+2^6+2^8$, we just need to compute $2^{2^i} \pmod{503}$ by repeated squarings for $i \in [1,8]$ and multiply some of them together to get $2^{347} \equiv 92 \pmod{503}$. I thank Paul Vojta for correcting a mistake in an earlier version of this calculation.)

(33) Show that $\lambda_p(503) = \lambda_{503}(-p) = \lambda_{503}(-91)$. Now use the prime decomposition $91 = 7.13$ to complete exercise (??).

Lecture 2

$$\prod_v (a,b)_v = 1$$

(34) For each prime number p, we shall define a bilinear map (the quadratic hilbertian symbol at p)

$$(\ ,\ )_p : \mathbf{Q}^\times \times \mathbf{Q}^\times \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$$

using the quadratic character λ_p (??) for $p \neq 2$ and the quadratic characters λ_4 (??), λ_8 (??) for $p = 2$. We shall also define the (quadratic) hilbertian symbol $(\ ,\ )_\infty$ at the "archimedean place" ∞ (using the quadratic character λ_∞), and show that the three laws (??)

$$\lambda_p(-1) = \lambda_4(p), \quad \lambda_p(2) = \lambda_8(p), \quad \lambda_p(q) = \lambda_q(\lambda_4(p)p)$$

(in which p and q are distinct odd primes) can be encapsulated in a single neat product formula $\prod_v (a,b)_v = 1$, where v runs over all *places* of $\mathbf{Q}$, namely the prime numbers and also the archimedean place ∞, and $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$.

(35) First a bit of notation. The quadratic characters λ_* (for $*$ an odd prime or $* = 4, 8, \infty$) take values in the multiplicative group $\mathbf{Z}^\times$. Sometimes we need to think of them as taking values in the field $\mathbf{F}_2$ (over which $\mathbf{Z}^\times$ is a 1-dimensional vector space, with basis -1), and then we denote them by ε_*, so the relation between the two is

$$\lambda_*(a) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_*(a)}$$

for every $a \in \mathbf{Z}^\times_{(p)}$ (where $p = 2$ for $* = 4, 8$ and $p = *$ if $*$ is an odd prime) or for every $a \in \mathbf{R}^\times$ if $* = \infty$.

(36) The advantage of this notation is that we can replace conditions such as "$\lambda_4(a) = 1$ or $\lambda_4(b) = 1$" by the condition "$\varepsilon_4(a)\varepsilon_4(b) = 0$". Similarly, "$a > 0$ or $b > 0$" is equivalent to "$\varepsilon_\infty(a)\varepsilon_\infty(b) = 0$". The property $\lambda_*(ab) = \lambda_*(a)\lambda_*(b)$ implies that $\varepsilon_*(ab) = \varepsilon_*(a) + \varepsilon_*(b)$.

(37) Let us make the definition explicit. For every $a \in \mathbf{R}^\times$, we have

$$\varepsilon_\infty(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

(38) Write $x \equiv_n y$ for $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$. For $a \in \mathbf{Z}^\times_{(2)}$, we have (??)

$$\varepsilon_4(a) \equiv_2 \frac{a-1}{2} \equiv_2 \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \equiv_4 +1, \\ 1 & \text{if } a \equiv_4 -1; \end{cases}$$

note the curiostiy $\varepsilon_\infty(a) = \varepsilon_4(\lambda_\infty(a))$ for every $a \in \mathbf{R}^\times$, which has its uses. Similarly, for $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$,

$$\varepsilon_8(a) \equiv_2 \frac{a^2-1}{8} \equiv_2 \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \equiv_8 \pm 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } a \equiv_8 \pm 5. \end{cases}$$

by (??). As λ_8 is a morphism of groups (??), we have $\frac{ab-1}{2} \equiv_2 \frac{a-1}{2} + \frac{b-1}{2}$ and $\frac{(ab)^2-1}{8} \equiv_2 \frac{a^2-1}{8} + \frac{b^2-1}{8}$ for $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^\times$.

(39) Finally, for every odd prime p and every $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$, we have

$$\varepsilon_p(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \bar{a} \in \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \bar{a} \notin \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}. \end{cases}$$

(40) The quadratic reciprocity law (???) can of course be rewritten as

$$\varepsilon_p(-1) = \varepsilon_4(p), \quad \varepsilon_p(2) = \varepsilon_8(p), \quad \varepsilon_p(q) = \varepsilon_4(p)\varepsilon_4(q) + \varepsilon_q(p)$$

(for any two distinct odd primes p and q) but this reformulation is no improvement. Reformulating the law in terms of hilbertian symbols is going to be a substantial improvement.

(41) Put $(a,b)_\infty = (-1)^{\varepsilon_\infty(a)\varepsilon_\infty(b)}$, so that $(a,b)_\infty = 1$ if and only if $a > 0$ or $b > 0$, if and only if there exist $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$.

(42) Now let p be a prime number. Note that every $x \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ can be uniquely written as $x = p^{v_p(x)}u_x$, with $v_p(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u_x \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$ (???). Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$, write

$$a = p^{v_p(a)}u_a, \quad b = p^{v_p(b)}u_b, \quad (v_p(a), v_p(b) \in \mathbf{Z}, u_a, u_b \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times) \ ;$$

and note that $u_{ab} = u_a u_b$ in addition to $v_p(ab) = v_p(a) + v_p(b)$. Put

$$t_{a,b} = (-1)^{v_p(a)v_p(b)} a^{v_p(b)} b^{-v_p(a)} = (-1)^{v_p(a)v_p(b)} u_a^{v_p(b)} u_b^{-v_p(a)}$$

which is visibly in $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$ (??). Notice that $t_{b,a} = t_{a,b}^{-1}$ and $t_{a,bc} = t_{a,b}t_{a,c}$ (for every $c \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$).

(43) If $p \neq 2$, define $(a,b)_p = (-1)^{\varepsilon_p(t_{a,b})}$, so that $(a,b)_p = +1$ if and only if $\bar{t}_{a,b} \in \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}$; otherwise, $(a,b)_p = -1$. It follows from the definitions that

$$\begin{aligned} (a,b)_p = \lambda_p(t_{a,b}) &= (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(p)v_p(a)v_p(b)} \lambda_p(u_a)^{v_p(b)} \lambda_p(u_b)^{-v_p(a)} \\ &= (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(p)v_p(a)v_p(b) + v_p(b)\varepsilon_p(u_a) - v_p(a)\varepsilon_p(u_b)}. \end{aligned}$$

(44) For $p = 2$, define $(a,b)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(u_a)\varepsilon_4(u_b)+\varepsilon_8(t_{a,b})}$, so that $(a,b)_2 = +1$ if and only if $\frac{u_a-1}{2}\frac{u_b-1}{2} + \frac{t_{a,b}^2-1}{8} \equiv 0 \pmod 2$; otherwise, $(a,b)_2 = -1$. Again, it follows from the definitions that

$$(a,b)_2 = (-1)^{\frac{u_a-1}{2}\frac{u_b-1}{2}+\frac{t_{a,b}^2-1}{8}} = (-1)^{\frac{u_a-1}{2}\frac{u_b-1}{2}}\lambda_8(t_{a,b}).$$

Notice finally that $\varepsilon_8(t_{a,b}) \equiv v_2(b)\varepsilon_8(u_a) - v_2(a)\varepsilon_8(u_b) \pmod 2$, therefore

$$(a,b)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(u_a)\varepsilon_4(u_b)+v_2(b)\varepsilon_8(u_a)-v_2(a)\varepsilon_8(u_b)}.$$

(45) These definitions might seem a bit contrived. Once we have introduced the fields $\mathbf{Q}_p$ (containing $\mathbf{Q}$) for primes p, we will see that $(a,b)_p = 1$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$. This is the natural definition of $(a,b)_p$, valid for all $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$, and the above formulæ are the result of a computation. The natural definition brings out the analogy with the symbol $(\ ,\ )_\infty$ (??) on $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q}_\infty$.

(46) The hilbertian symbol $(\ ,\ )_v$ (where v is a prime p or $v = \infty$) possesses some elementary properties which we enumerate next. Most of them are straightforward calculations, and completely obvious if $v = \infty$. So assume that $v = p$ is a prime, and $a = p^{v_p(a)}u_a, b = p^{v_p(b)}u_b$ as above.

(47) $(a,b)_v = (b,a)_v$. (Interchanging a, b replaces $t_{a,b}$ by $t_{a,b}^{-1}$ (and interchanges $v_p(a), v_p(b)$ and u_a, u_b), but $\lambda_*(t_{a,b}^{-1}) = \lambda_*(t_{a,b})$ for $* = p$ if $p \neq 2$ and $* = 8$ if $p = 2$.)

(48) $(a,bc)_v = (a,b)_v(a,c)_v$. (Indeed, $v_p(bc) = v_p(b) + v_p(c)$, $u_{bc} = u_b u_c$ and $t_{a,bc} = t_{a,b}t_{b,c}$, and the ε_* are homomorphisms (for $* = p$ if $p \neq 2$ and $* = 4, 8$ if $p = 2$).)

(49) It follows from these two facts that $(a,b)_v$ depends only on the classes of a, b modulo $\mathbf{Q}^{\times 2}$ in the sense that $(a,b)_v = (ac^2, b)_v$ for every $c \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ and $(a,b)_v = (a, bd^2)_v$ for every $d \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ (so we may assume that a, b are squarefree integers).

(50) $(a,-a)_v = 1$. (For $t_{a,b} = 1$ (and $\varepsilon_4(u_{-a}) = 1+\varepsilon_4(u_a)$ when $p = 2$).)

The following two propositions are immediate consequences of the definitions.

(51) *Let p be an odd prime and let $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$. Then*

$$(a,b)_p = 1, \quad (a,pb)_p = (-1)^{\varepsilon_p(a)} = \lambda_p(a).$$

□

(52) *Let* $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}^{\times}$. *Then we have*

$$(a,b)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(a)\varepsilon_4(b)}, \quad (a,2)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon_8(a)} = \lambda_8(a).$$

□

(53) As an example, we have $(p,p)_p = (-p,p)_p(-1,p)_p = (-1,p)_p$, which is $= \lambda_p(-1) = \lambda_4(p)$ if $p \neq 2$ (??), and $= \lambda_8(-1) = 1$ if $p = 2$ (??). Note that this example and (??) (for $p \neq 2$) or (??) (for $p = 2$) cover all possible cases, by symmetry (??) and multiplicativity (??).

(54) *Let* $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times}$. *Then* $(a,b)_v = 1$ *for almost every place* v *of* $\mathbf{Q}$, *and we have the product formula*

$$\prod_v (a,b)_v = 1.$$

Proof. That $(a,b)_v = 1$ for almost every v follows from (??) and the fact that $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^{\times}$ for almost every prime p. By the symmetry and bilinearity of the symbol $(\ ,\ )_v$ and the fact that the $\mathbf{F}_2$-space $\mathbf{Q}^{\times}/\mathbf{Q}^{\times 2}$ admits a basis consisting of -1 and the primes numbers, it is sufficient to prove the product formula in the following cases :

(55) $a = p$ *and* $b = q$, *where* p *and* q *are distinct odd primes.* We then have $(p,q)_v = 1$ for all $v \neq p, q, 2$, and

$$(p,q)_p = \lambda_p(q), \quad (p,q)_q = \lambda_q(p), \quad (p,q)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(p)\varepsilon_4(q)},$$

by (??) and (??), so the product formula follows in this case from the law $\lambda_p(q)\lambda_q(p) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(p)\varepsilon_4(q)}$ (??).

(56) $a = p$, *where* p *is an odd prime, and* $b = 2$. Similarly, we have $(p,2)_v = 1$ for all $v \neq p, 2$, and

$$(p,2)_p = \lambda_p(2), \quad (p,2)_2 = \lambda_8(p)$$

so the product formula follows from the law $\lambda_p(2) = \lambda_8(p)$ (??).

(57) $a = p$, *where* p *is an odd prime, and* $b = -1$. We then have $(p,-1)_v = 1$ for all $v \neq p, 2$, and

$$(p,-1)_p = \lambda_p(-1), \quad (p,-1)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(p)\varepsilon_4(-1)} = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(p)}$$

so the product formula follows from the law $\lambda_p(-1) = \lambda_4(p)$ (??).

(58) $a = 2$ *and* $b = -1$. We then have $(2,-1)_v = 1$ for all $v \neq 2, \infty$, and

$$(2,-1)_2 = \lambda_8(-1) = 1, \quad (2,-1)_\infty = 1,$$

so the product formula holds trivially in this case.

(59) $a = -1$ *and* $b = -1$. We then have $(-1,-1)_v = 1$ for $v \neq 2, \infty$ and $(-1,-1)_v = -1$ for $v = 2, \infty$.

We don't need to consider the case $(a,b) = (l,l)$ for any prime l because $(l,l)_v = (-1,l)_v(-l,l)_v = (-1,l)_v$ by (??), which has been treated in (??) if $l \neq 2$ and in (??) if $l = 2$. This completes the proof of the product formula (??) in all cases. □

(60) Conversely, it is obvious that the product formula (???) implies the quadratic reciprocity law (???).

(61) The above proof is summarised in the following table (in which l is a prime $\neq 2, p, q$ and the blank entries stand for $+1$)

v	∞	2	p	q	l
$(p,q)_v$		$(-1)^{\varepsilon_4(p)\varepsilon_4(q)}$	$\lambda_p(q)$	$\lambda_q(p)$	
$(p,2)_v$		$\lambda_8(p)$	$\lambda_p(2)$		
$(p,-1)_v$		$\lambda_4(p)$	$\lambda_p(-1)$		
$(2,-1)_v$					
$(-1,-1)_v$	-1	-1			

(62) *Fix* $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$. *The number of places* v *such that* $(a,b)_v = -1$ *is (finite and) even.* □

(63) We shall see later that given any finite set S of places of $\mathbf{Q}$ such that Card S is even, there exist $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ such that $(a,b)_v = -1$ for $v \in \mathrm{S}$ and $(a,b)_v = 1$ for $v \notin \mathrm{S}$.

(64) *Let* w *be a place of* $\mathbf{Q}$. *If* $(a,b)_v = 1$ *for all* $v \neq w$, *then* $(a,b)_w = 1$. □

(65) For example, if $(a,b)_p = 1$ for every prime number p, then at least one of a, b must be > 0.

Exercises

(66) Let $a = \lambda_\infty(a) \prod_{p \neq 2} p^{v_p(a)}$ (??) be the prime decomposition of an *odd* integer $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, so that $\lambda_\infty(a)$ is the sign of a and $v_p(a) = 0$ for almost all primes p, and define $\psi_a(n) = \prod_{v_p(a) \equiv 1 \pmod 2} \lambda_p(n)$ for every integer n prime to a. Show that

$$\psi_a(-1) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(a) + \varepsilon_\infty(a)}, \qquad \psi_a(2) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_8(a)},$$

and, for every odd integer $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ prime to a, we have the reciprocity law

$$\psi_a(b) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(a)\varepsilon_4(b)+\varepsilon_\infty(a)\varepsilon_\infty(b)}\psi_b(a).$$

(67) Define $k(a) = \prod_{v_p(a)\equiv 1 \pmod 2} p$, and view ψ_a as a character of $\mathrm{G}_{k(a)}$ (??) via the isomorphism $\mathrm{G}_{k(a)} \to \prod_{v_p(a)\equiv 1 \pmod 2} \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ (??). Show that the above reciprocity law continues to remain valid for any two odd integers $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\gcd(a, k(b)) = 1$ and $\gcd(b, k(a)) = 1$ (or in other words $\bar{a} \in \mathrm{G}_{k(b)}$ and $\bar{b} \in \mathrm{G}_{k(a)}$).

(68) Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ be a squarefree integer, and put $m = 4|a|_\infty$. Show that there is a unique homomorphism $\chi_a : \mathrm{G}_m \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ such that $\chi_a(p) = \lambda_p(a)$ for every prime p not dividing m. Moreover, $\chi_a^2 = 1$, but $\chi_a \neq 1$ if $a \neq 1$. (*Hint :* It is clear that χ_a is unique (if it exists) and has order dividing 2. As for the existence, take $\chi_b = \lambda_4^{\varepsilon_4(b)}\lambda_{l_1}\lambda_{l_2}\ldots\lambda_{l_r}$ if $b = l_1 l_2 \ldots l_r$ (where $r = 0$ if $b = 1$) is a product of distinct odd primes l_i, and take

$$\chi_{-b} = \lambda_4\chi_b, \quad \chi_{2b} = \lambda_8\chi_b, \quad \chi_{-2b} = \lambda_4\lambda_8\chi_b \ ;$$

this defines χ_a for every (squarefree) a. Suppose that $a \neq 1$. If $a = -1, 2$ or -2, then clearly $\chi_a \neq 1$. Otherwise a has some odd prime factors $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_r$ $(r > 0)$; for any $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\lambda_{l_1}(x) = -1$ and $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4l_2\ldots l_r}$, we have $\chi_a(x) = -1$.)

(69) Show that $\chi_a(x) = \prod_{l|m}(a, x)_l = \prod_{\gcd(l,m)=1}(a, x)_l$ for every integer $x > 0$ prime to m.

Lecture 3

$\mathbf{Z}_p$

(70) Let p be a prime number. For every $n > 0$, we have the finite ring $\mathrm{A}_n = \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$ with p^n elements, and a surjective morphism of rings $\varphi_n : \mathrm{A}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{A}_n$, with kernel $p^n\mathrm{A}_{n+1}$, so that we have the exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{A}_1 \to \mathrm{A}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{A}_n \to 0$, where the first map is "multiplication by p^n" (the unique morphism of groups $\mathrm{A}_1 \to \mathrm{A}_{n+1}$ such that $1 \mapsto p^n$). Similarly we have the exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{A}_n \to \mathrm{A}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{A}_1 \to 0$, where the first map is "multiplication by p".

(71) A *p-adic integer* is a system of elements $(x_n)_{n>0}$ such that $x_n \in \mathrm{A}_n$ and $\varphi_n(x_{n+1}) = x_n$. The set of p-adic integers is denoted by $\mathbf{Z}_p$; it is a subset of the product $\prod_{n>0} \mathrm{A}_n$ defined by the vanishing of $\varphi_m \circ \pi_{m+1} - \pi_m$ for all $m > 0$, where π_m is the natural projection $\prod_{n>0} \mathrm{A}_n \to \mathrm{A}_m$. The restriction of π_m to $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is surjective because the φ_n are surjective.

(72) For every $n > 0$, base-p expansion in $\mathbf{N}$ gives a natural bijection $[0, p[^n \to [0, p^n[$, namely $(b_i)_{i\in[0,n[} \mapsto \sum_{i\in[0,n[} b_i p^i$, and thence a natural bijection $[0, p[^n \to \mathrm{A}_n$. If $x_{n+1} \in \mathrm{A}_{n+1}$ corresponds to $(b_i)_{i\in[0,n]}$, then $\varphi_n(x_{n+1})$ corresponds to $(b_i)_{i\in[0,n[}$. It follows that the set $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is in natural bijection with the product $[0, p[^{\mathbf{N}}$; a p-adic integer $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ corresponds to a sequence $(b_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ if and only if

$$\pi_n(x) \equiv \sum_{i\in[0,n[} b_i p^i \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^n)$$

(in A_n) for every $n > 0$. In particular, the set $\mathbf{Z}_p$ has the cardinality of the continuum.

(73) Let $x = (x_n)_{n>0}$ be a p-adic integer. If $x_n = 0$ (resp. $x_n = 1$) for every $n > 0$, then we write $x = 0$ (resp. $x = 1$). If $y = (y_n)_{n>0}$ is another p-adic integer, we define

$$-x = (-x_n)_{n>0}, \quad x + y = (x_n + y_n)_{n>0}, \quad xy = (x_n y_n)_{n>0}.$$

These definitions give $\mathbf{Z}_p$ the structure of a commutative ring for which each $\pi_m : \mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathrm{A}_m$ is a morphism of rings.

(74) For every $m > 0$ define $\mathrm{V}_m = \pi_m^{-1}(0)$. There is a unique topology on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ for which $(x + \mathrm{V}_m)_{m>0}$ is a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods of x, for every $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. Each $x + \mathrm{V}_m$ is also closed in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ because A_m is finite. This topology is compatible with the ring structure of $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and each π_m is continuous. The space $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is compact because it is a closed subset of the product $\prod_{n>0} \mathrm{A}_n$.

(75) In other words, *the profinite ring* $\mathbf{Z}_p$ *is the projective limit of the inverse system* $(\varphi_n : \mathrm{A}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{A}_n)_{n>0}$. This means that given any ring A and homomorphisms of rings $f_n : \mathrm{A} \to \mathrm{A}_n$ such that $\varphi_n \circ f_{n+1} = f_n$ for every $n > 0$, there is a unique homomorphism of rings $\iota : \mathrm{A} \to \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $f_n = \pi_n \circ \iota$ for every $n > 0$. Indeed, $\iota(a) = (f_n(a))_{n>0}$ for every $a \in \mathrm{A}$. Also, X being a space, a map $f : \mathrm{X} \to \mathbf{Z}_p$ is continuous if and only if $\pi_n \circ f$ is continuous for every $n > 0$.

(76) If we consider instead the inverse system $(\varphi_n : \mathrm{B}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{B}_n)_{n>0}$, where $\mathrm{B}_n = \mathbf{F}_p[\mathrm{T}]/(\mathrm{T}^n)$ and T is an indeterminate, we get the profinite ring $\mathbf{F}_p[[\mathrm{T}]]$ which is similar to $\mathbf{Z}_p$ in many respects.

(77) Let $\iota : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}_p$ be the natural morphism of rings. For every $m > 0$, the composite $\pi_m \circ \iota$ is the canonical projection $\mathbf{Z} \to \mathrm{A}_m$ (passage to the quotient modulo $p^m\mathbf{Z}$). In particular, ι is injective, for if $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ is such that $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$ for every $m > 0$, then $a = 0$. We indentify $\mathbf{Z}$ with its image $\iota(\mathbf{Z})$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$.

(78) *For every* $m > 0$*, multiplication by* p^m *is injective on* $\mathbf{Z}_p$*, and the ideal* $p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ *is the kernel* V_m *of* $\pi_m : \mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathrm{A}_m$*.*

Proof. For the first part, it suffices to prove that the map $x \mapsto px$ is injective on $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Indeed, if $px = 0$ for some $x = (x_n)_{n>0}$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, then $px_{n+1} = 0$ for every $n > 0$, and there exist $y_{n+1} \in \mathrm{A}_{n+1}$ such that $x_{n+1} = p^n y_{n+1}$. But then

$$x_n = \varphi_n(x_{n+1}) = p^n \varphi_n(y_{n+1}) = 0$$

for every $n > 0$, and hence $x = 0$. It follows that multiplication by p^m is injective on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ for every $m > 0$.

Clearly $p^m\mathbf{Z}_p \subset \mathrm{V}_m$. If $x \in \mathrm{V}_m$, then $x_m = 0$, so $x_{m+r} \in p^m \mathrm{A}_{m+r}$ for every $r > 0$, and $x_{m+r} = p^m y_r$ for some $y_r \in \mathrm{A}_{m+r}$ uniquely determined $(\mathrm{mod.}\, p^r)$ and such that $\varphi_r(y_{r+1}) = y_r$. The p-adic integer $y = (y_r)_{r>0}$ is such that $x = p^m y$, and therefore $p^m\mathbf{Z}_p = \mathrm{V}_m = \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_m$. □

(79) We thus have the exact sequence $0 \to p^m\mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{Z}/p^m\mathbf{Z} \to 0$ for every $m > 0$; in particular, $\mathbf{F}_p = \mathbf{Z}_p/p\mathbf{Z}_p$.

(80) *For every* $m > 0$*, the induced map* $\mathbf{Z}/p^m\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}_p/p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ *is an isomorphism of rings.* □

(81) *For* $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$*, the following conditions are equivalent :*

$$(1) \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times, \qquad (2) \quad x \notin p\mathbf{Z}_p, \qquad (3) \quad \pi_1(x) \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times.$$

In particular, $p\mathbf{Z}_p$ *is the unique maximal ideal of the ring* $\mathbf{Z}_p$*.*

Proof. The equivalence of (2) and (3) follows from the fact that $\mathbf{F}_p = \mathbf{Z}_p/p\mathbf{Z}_p$. As the implication (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (3) is clear, it suffices to prove that (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1).

Suppose that $x \notin p\mathbf{Z}_p$, so that $\pi_1(x) \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. It follows that for every $n > 0$, we have $x_n \notin p\mathrm{A}_n$. Therefore there exist $y_n, z_n \in \mathrm{A}_n$ such that $x_n y_n = 1 - pz_n$, or equivalently $x_n x'_n = 1$, with

$$x'_n = y_n(1 + pz_n + \ldots + p^{n-1}z_n^{n-1}).$$

We have $\varphi_n(x'_{n+1}) = x'_n$ (because $\varphi_n(x'_{n+1})$ is also an inverse of x_n in the ring A_n), so we get a p-adic integer $x' \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $xx' = 1$, and hence $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$. □

(82) The group $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ can be thought of as the projective limit of the inverse system $(\mathrm{A}_{n+1}^\times \to \mathrm{A}_n^\times)_{n>0}$.

(83) *There is a unique morphism of rings $\iota : \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \to \mathbf{Z}_p$. It is injective, and the composite $\pi_m \circ \iota$ is the natural projection* $(\mathrm{mod.}\, p^m\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$.

Proof. Indeed, if $u \in \mathrm{S}$, where $\mathrm{S} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ is the multiplicative subset of integers prime to p, then $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ (??), so by the universal property of the localisation $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} = \mathrm{S}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}$, there exists a unique morphism of rings $\iota : \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \to \mathbf{Z}_p$ extending the inclusion $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{Z}_p$. It is injective because the only $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ such that $x \equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^n)$ for every $n > 0$ is $x = 0$. Finally, the induced map $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}/p^m\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \to \mathbf{Z}_p/p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ is an isomorphism because the composite $\mathbf{Z}/p^m\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}/p^m\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \to \mathbf{Z}_p/p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ is an isomorphism (??). □

(84) *Every $x \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ can be uniquely written as $x = p^m u$, with $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. If $x \neq 0$, there is a largest integer $m > 0$ such that $\pi_m(x) = 0$; we then have $x = p^m u$ with $u \notin p\mathbf{Z}_p$ (??). By (??), $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$. The decomposition $x = p^m u$ is unique because m is uniquely determined by x, and because $y \mapsto p^m y$ is injective (??). □

(85) For $x = p^m u$ ($m \in \mathbf{N}, u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$), we put $v_p(x) = m$, and define $v_p(0) = +\infty$. Note that each of the three conditions in (??) is equivalent to "$v_p(x) = 0$". It is clear that $v_p(xy) = v_p(x) + v_p(y)$. This definition is compatible with (??), and the inequality $v_p(x + y) \geq \mathrm{Inf}(v_p(x), v_p(y))$, with equality if $v_p(x) \neq v_p(y)$, continues to hold for all $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$.

(86) *The ring $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is integral and every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ is generated by p^n for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proof. For $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, we have $v_p(x)+v_p(y) < +\infty$ and hence $xy \neq 0$. Next, let $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ be an ideal of $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and let n be the smallest number in $v_p(\mathfrak{a})$. We claim that $\mathfrak{a} = p^n\mathbf{Z}_p$. First, if $x \in \mathfrak{o}$ is such that $v_p(x) = n$,

we have $x = p^n\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$, or equivalently $p^n = x.\alpha^{-1}$, so $p^n \in \mathfrak{a}$. Secondly, for every $y \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{a}$, we have $v_p(y) \geq n$, and $y = p^{v_p(y)-n}\beta.p^n$ for some $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ (??), so $\mathfrak{a} \subset p^n\mathbf{Z}_p$. Hence $\mathfrak{a} = p^n\mathbf{Z}_p$. □

(87) We put $|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}$ for $x \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and define $|0|_p = 0$. We then have $|x-y|_p \leq \mathrm{Sup}(|x|_p, |y|_p)$ (with equality if $|x|_p \neq |y|_p$) (??) and hence $d_p(x,y) = |x-y|_p$ is a distance on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ satisfying

$$d_p(x,z) \leq \mathrm{Sup}(d_p(x,y), d_p(y,z)),$$

(*the ultrametric inequality*), stronger than the triangular inequality.

(88) *The topology on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ can be defined by the distance d_p, for which it is complete.*

Proof. That the topology can be defined by d_p follows from the fact that the fundamental system of open neighbourhoods $p^n\mathbf{Z}_p$ of 0 (??) is an open ball for d_p, namely $d_p(x,0) < p^{-(n-1)}$. That $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is complete for d_p is a consequence of its compactness. □

(89) We can reverse the process and define $\mathbf{Z}_p$ as the completion of $\mathbf{Z}$ for the distance d_p. Notice that every open ball in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is also a closed ball, for example $|x|_p < 1$ is the same as $|x|_p \leq p^{-1}$. Also, any point of a ball can be considered as its "centre", as follows from the ultrametric inequality.

(90) Recall (??) that to every p-adic integer $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, we have associated a sequence $(b_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ of elements $b_i \in [0,p[$ characterised by the fact that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\sum_{i\in[0,n]} b_i p^i \equiv \pi_{n+1}(x) \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^{n+1}).$$

(91) *For every $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, the associated series $\sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} b_i p^i$ converges in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ to x.*

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $s_n = \sum_{i\in[0,n]} b_i p^i$ be the partial sums, and fix an integer $m > 0$. We have to show that almost all s_n are in $x + p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$. This is clearly the case as soon as $n > m$, for $s_n - x \in p^{n+1}\mathbf{Z}_p$, by the defining property of the sequence $(b_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$. □

(92) As an example, take $x = -1$, so that $b_i = p-1$ for every $i \in \mathbf{N}$, and hence

$$-1 = \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} (p-1)p^i, \quad (1-p)^{-1} = \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} p^i,$$

in $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Both expressions give $-1 = 1 + 2 + 2^2 + \ldots$ for $p = 2$.

(93) *The subset $\mathbf{N}$ is dense in $\mathbf{Z}_p$. More generally, if $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ is prime to p and if $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $a + b\mathbf{N}$ is dense in $\mathbf{Z}_p$*

Proof. We have to show that for every $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ and every $n > 0$, there exists an $x' \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $x' \in x + \mathrm{V}_n$; it suffices to take an x' whose image in A_n is x_n. The second statement follows from this because $x \mapsto a + bx$ is an of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ whenever $\gcd(b, p) = 1$, as $|b|_p = 1$ isometry (??). □

(94) So a p-adic integer x can be considered as a formal expression $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} b_i p^i$, with $b_i \in [0, p[$. Addition and multiplication can be defined by interpreting the partial sums as elements of $\mathbf{N}$ and taking base-p expansions of the sum or product. For $x \neq 0$, the valuation $v_p(x)$ is the smallest index i such that $b_i \neq 0$. The greater the valuation of x, the closer x is to 0 in the p-adic sense of $d_p(0, x)$. For example, the sequence $1, p, p^2, \ldots$ converges to 0 in $\mathbf{Z}_p$.

Exercises

(95) Let $n > 0$ be an integer and let $n = a_\nu p^\nu + a_{\nu+1} p^{\nu+1} + \cdots$ be its base-p expansion, where $\nu = v_p(n)$, $a_i \in [0, p[$, $a_\nu > 0$, and $a_i = 0$ for almost all i. Put $s_n = a_\nu + a_{\nu+1} + \cdots$ and $t_n = a_\nu! a_{\nu+1}! \ldots$ (with the convention $0! = 1$). Show that $p-1$ divides $n - s_n$, that $\bar{t}_n \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$, and that

$$v_p(n!) = \frac{n - s_n}{p - 1}, \qquad \frac{n!}{(-p)^{v_p(n!)}} \equiv t_n \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p).$$

Observe that $v_p(n!) = \sum_{j>0} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor$. (*Hint :* Use induction on n, noting that $n! = (n-1)!.n$ and that the base-p expansion of $n - 1$ is

$$n - 1 = (p-1) + (p-1)p + \cdots + (p-1)p^{\nu-1} + (a_\nu - 1)p^\nu + a_{\nu+1} p^{\nu+1} + \cdots.)$$

(96) Let $x \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. For every integer $n > 0$, put $c_n = \prod_{i \in [0,n[} (1 - 2i)$. Show that the series $\sum_{n>0} c_n \frac{(4x)^n}{n!}$ converges to some $y \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ and that $(1 + y)^2 = 1 + 8x$. (*Hint :* 2^n does not divide $n!$.) We shall see later that $1 + y$ is the unique square root of $1 + 8x$ such that $y \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, 4)$.

Lecture 4

$\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$

(97) Let us move on to serious things. Suppose we want to find the roots in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ of some polynomial $f \in \mathbf{Z}_p[\mathrm{T}]$. This amounts to finding, for every $n > 0$, a root $\xi_n \in \mathrm{A}_n$ of f such that $\xi_{n+1} \equiv \xi_n \pmod{p^n}$, so a first necessary condition for f to have a root in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is that there should exist an $x_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $f(x_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

(98) Let us try to improve such an x_1 to an $x_2 = x_1 + pz_2$ (with $z_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, so that $x_2 \equiv x_1 \pmod{p}$) such that $f(x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. To compute $f(x_1 + pz_2)$ we use the polynomial identity

$$f(\mathrm{T} + \mathrm{S}) = f(\mathrm{T}) + f'(\mathrm{T})\mathrm{S} + g(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S})\mathrm{S}^2$$

(valid for some $g \in \mathbf{Z}_p[\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}]$, where f' denotes the formal derivative of f), so $f(x_2) \equiv f(x_1) + f'(x_1)z_2 p \pmod{p^2}$. As $f(x_1) = y_1 p$ for some $y_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_p$,

$$f(x_1 + z_2 p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad y_1 + f'(x_1)z_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

So *if* $f'(x_1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (so that $f'(x_1) \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$), we take $z_2 = -y_1/f'(x_1)$, and then

$$x_2 = x_1 - \frac{f(x_1)}{f'(x_1)}, \quad f(x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad x_2 \equiv x_1 \pmod{p}.$$

The moral of this story is that if f has a *simple* root in A_1, then it can be uniquely lifted to a root of f in A_2.

(99) This process can be iterated if it turns out that $f'(x_2) \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ (which we will see is the case) : if we put $x_3 = x_2 - f(x_2)/f'(x_2)$, then

$$x_3 \equiv x_2 \pmod{p^2}, \qquad f(x_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Such is the basic idea behind the proof of the following slightly more general and important result, known as Hensel's lemma.

(100) *Let $f \in \mathbf{Z}_p[\mathrm{T}]$ and $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ be such that $f'(x) \neq 0$, and put $\delta = v_p(f'(x))$. Suppose that we have $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$ for some $m > 2\delta$. Then there exists a unique $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that*

$$f(\xi) = 0, \quad \xi \equiv x \pmod{p^{m-\delta}}, \quad v_p(f'(\xi)) = \delta.$$

Proof. Note first that $f'(x) = p^\delta u$ for some $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ (??), that $f(x)/f'(x)$ is in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and that therefore so is $y = x - f(x)/f'(x)$. We claim that

$$f(y) \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^{m+1}), \quad y \equiv x \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^{m-\delta}), \quad v_p(f'(y)) = \delta.$$

Indeed, write $f(x) = p^m a$ for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, so that $y - x \in p^{m-\delta}\mathbf{Z}_p$. The polynomial identity (??) implies that

$$f(y) = f(x) - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} f'(x) + (y-x)^2 t = (y-x)^2 t$$

for some $t \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, which implies that $f(y) \in p^{2m-2\delta}\mathbf{Z}_p$. But $m > 2\delta$ by hypothesis, so $f(y) \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^{m+1})$. Next, applying the polynomial identity (??) to f', we get

$$f'(y) = f'(x + (y-x)) = f'(x) + (y-x)s$$

for some $s \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. Note that $v_p(y-x) > \delta$, so $v_p(f'(y)) = v_p(f'(x)) = \delta$ (??), and our claim about y is established.

(101) Let us come to the proof of (??). The existence of ξ follows immediately from the preceding discussion. Indeed, starting with the given $x_0 = x$, this algorithm furnishes an $x_1 = y$ to which the algorithm can be reapplied. We thus get a sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ of p-adic integers such that

$$f(x_i) \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^{m+i}), \quad x_{i+1} \equiv x_i \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^{m+i-\delta}), \quad v_p(f'(x_i)) = \delta,$$

for every $i \in \mathbf{N}$. The sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ to the desired ξ.

(102) Let us show the uniqueness ξ (satisfying the stated conditions). In fact, we shall show that if $f(\eta) = 0$ for some $\eta \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ satisfying the weaker congruence $\eta \equiv x \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, p^{\delta+1})$, then $\eta = \xi$. The polynomial identity (??) gives

$$f(\eta) = f(\xi) + (\eta - \xi).f'(\xi) + (\eta - \xi)^2.a$$

for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. As η and ξ are roots of f, the above relation implies that

$$(\eta - \xi)(f'(\xi) + (\eta - \xi)a) = 0.$$

But because $v_p(f'(\xi)) = \delta$ whereas $v_p((\eta - \xi)a) > \delta$ by hypothesis, the second factor is $\neq 0$. The only possibility is that $\eta = \xi$ and we are done. □

(103) We extend the quadratic characters λ_p for $p \neq 2$ (resp. λ_4 and λ_8 for $p = 2$) to the whole of $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ by posing $\lambda_p(u) = \lambda_p(\pi_1(u))$ for $p \neq 2$ (resp. $\lambda_4(u) = \lambda_4(\pi_2(u))$ and $\lambda_8(u) = \lambda_8(\pi_3(u))$ for $p = 2$), where π_m is the projection $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times \to (\mathbf{Z}/p^m\mathbf{Z})^\times$.

(104) *For primes $p \neq 2$, a unit $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ is a square if and only if $\lambda_p(u) = +1$.*

Proof. Clearly $\lambda_p(x^2) = +1$ for every $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$. Suppose that $\lambda_p(u) = +1$. Then there exists an $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, where $f = \mathrm{T}^2 - u$. As $f'(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we can apply (??) with $\delta = 0$, $m = 1$, to conclude that there is a unique $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $f(\xi) = 0$, $\xi \equiv x \pmod{p}$, and $v_p(f'(\xi)) = 0$ (which just means that $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$). In other words, ξ is the square root of u congruent $\pmod{p}$ to the given x. □

(105) *For odd primes p, the $\mathbf{F}_2$-space $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times/\mathbf{Z}_p^{\times 2}$ consists of $\{\bar{1}, \bar{u}\}$, where u is any unit such that $\lambda_p(u) = -1$.*

Proof. That there is such a unit $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ follows from the fact that the projection $\pi_1 : \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ is surjective. □

(106) *For $u \in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times$ to be a square, it is necessary and sufficient that $\lambda_4(u) = +1$ and $\lambda_8(u) = +1$, or equivalently $u \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.*

Proof. The equivalence of the conditions "$\lambda_4(u) = +1$ and $\lambda_8(u) = +1$" and "$u \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$" is easy to see, as it is to see that they hold for every $u = x^2$ ($x \in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times$). If they hold for some $u \in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times$, then $f = \mathrm{T}^2 - u$ has the root $x = 1 \pmod{8}$. We have $f'(1) = 2$, so we can apply (??) with $\delta = 1$, $m = 3$, to conclude that there is a unique $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ such that $\xi^2 = u$, $\xi \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $v_2(2\xi) = 1$ (which just means that $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times$). □

(107) *The $\mathbf{F}_2$-space $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times/\mathbf{Z}_2^{\times 2} = (\mathbf{Z}/8\mathbf{Z})^\times$ has a basis consisting of $\bar{5}, -\bar{1}$. The values of λ_4, λ_8 on this basis are given by the matrix*

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ \bar{5} \\ -\bar{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \lambda_4 & \lambda_8 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{array}$$

□

(108) Next we prove that there is canonical section $\omega : \mathbf{F}_p \to \mathbf{Z}_p$ of the projection $\pi_1 : \mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{F}_p$. Of course ω cannot be a morphism of groups, much less a morphism of rings, but it has the desirable property of being *multiplicative*.

(109) *Let $\mathrm{R} \subset \mathbf{Z}_p$ be the set of roots of $\mathrm{T}^p - \mathrm{T}$. The reduction map $\pi_1 : \mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{F}_p$ gives a bijection $\mathrm{R} \to \mathbf{F}_p$. Moreover, if $x, y \in \mathrm{R}$, then $xy \in \mathrm{R}$ and if $\omega : \mathbf{F}_p \to \mathrm{R}$ denotes the reciprocal bijection, then $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$.*

Proof. Put $f = \mathrm{T}^p - \mathrm{T}$; every $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$ is a simple root of $f \pmod{p}$, so there is a unique root $\omega(a) \in \mathrm{R}$ of f in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $\pi_1(\omega(a)) = a$. As R (being the set of roots in an integral ring (??) such as $\mathbf{Z}_p$ of a polynomial of degree p) can have at most p elements, the map $\omega : \mathbf{F}_p \to \mathrm{R}$ is bijective, and π_1 induces the reciprocal bijection.

If $x, y \in \mathrm{R}$, then $x^p = x$ and $y^p = y$, therefore $(xy)^p = xy$, and hence $xy \in \mathrm{R}$. Finally, the multiplicativity $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbf{F}_p$ follows from the unicity of the $\omega(ab) \in \mathrm{R}$ such that $\pi_1(\omega(ab)) = ab$ and the fact that for the element $\omega(a)\omega(b) \in \mathrm{R}$ we have $\pi_1(\omega(a)\omega(b)) = ab$. □

(110) The subset R is called the set of multiplicative representatives of $\mathbf{F}_p$. Let $\mathrm{R}^\times$ be the set of roots of $\mathrm{T}^{p-1} - 1$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$; we sometimes identify $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$ with $\mathrm{R}^\times$. The morphism of groups $\omega : \mathbf{F}_p^\times \to \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ is a section of the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{U}_1 \to \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbf{F}_p^\times \to 1.$$

We shall see later that the torsion subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ is $\mathrm{R}^\times$ (resp. $\mathbf{Z}^\times$) for $p \neq 2$ (resp. $p = 2$).

(111) Let's introduce some notation. For every $n > 0$, let $\mathrm{U}_n = 1 + p^n\mathbf{Z}_p$ be the group of units in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ which are $\equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$. The U_n form a decreasing sequence of open subgroups of $\mathrm{U} = \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$, and we have already remarked that U can be identified with the projective limit of the system $(\varphi_n : \mathrm{U}/\mathrm{U}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{U}/\mathrm{U}_n)_{n>0}$.

(112) *For every prime p, the group $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ is the internal direct product of $\mathrm{R}^\times$ and U_1.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathrm{R}^\times \cap \mathrm{U}_1 = \{1\}$, and every $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ can be written as $x = wu$, with $w = \omega(\pi_1(x))$ in $\mathrm{R}^\times$ and $u = xw^{-1}$ in U_1. □

(113) For every $n > 0$, the map $(1 + p^n x) \mapsto \pi_1(x)$ defines upon passage to the quotient an isomorphism of groups $\mathrm{U}_n/\mathrm{U}_{n+1} \to \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, as follows from the identity

$$(1 + p^n x)(1 + p^n y) \equiv 1 + p^n(x + y) \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

In short, the map $x \mapsto (x - 1)/p^n \pmod{p}$ is a surjective morphism of groups $\mathrm{U}_n \to \mathbf{F}_p$, and its kernel is U_{n+1}.

(114) *For every prime p and every $r \in [1, p[$, the binomial coefficient $\binom{p}{r} = p!/r!(p-r)!$ is divisible by p.*

Proof. Indeed, $v_p(p!) = 1$ whereas $v_p(r!) = 0$ and $v_p((p-r)!) = 0$. □

(115) *Let $p \neq 2$ (resp. $p = 2$) be a prime, and let $n > 0$ (reps. $n > 1$) be an integer. If $x \in \mathrm{U}_n$ but $x \notin \mathrm{U}_{n+1}$, then $x^p \in \mathrm{U}_{n+1}$ but $x^p \notin \mathrm{U}_{n+2}$.*

In other words, $(\ )^p$ *induces an isomorphism* $\mathrm{U}_n/\mathrm{U}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{U}_{n+1}/\mathrm{U}_{n+2}$ *of groups.*

Proof. Write $x = 1 + p^n a$, so that $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, by hypothesis. The binomial theorem gives

$$x^p = 1 + p^{n+1}a + \ldots + p^{np}a^p,$$

where the suppressed terms $\binom{p}{r}(p^n a)^r$ $(1 < r < p)$ are all divisible by p^{2n+1} (??) and hence also by p^{n+2}. At the same time, we have $np > n+1$ (because $n > 1$ if $p = 2$), so we get $x^p \equiv 1 + p^{n+1}a \pmod{p^{n+2}}$, which implies that $x^p \in \mathrm{U}_{n+1}$ but $x^p \notin \mathrm{U}_{n+2}$. The induced morphism $\mathrm{U}_n/\mathrm{U}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{U}_{n+1}/\mathrm{U}_{n+2}$ is an isomorphism because it is not trivial and the two groups are of order p. □

(116) In short, for every $n > 0$ if $p \neq 2$ (resp. $n > 1$ if $p = 2$), the map $(\ )^p$ takes U_n to U_{n+1}, the composite map $\mathrm{U}_n \to \mathrm{U}_{n+1}/\mathrm{U}_{n+2}$ is surjective, its kernel in U_{n+1}, and we have a commutative diagram of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{U}_n & \xrightarrow{(\ )^p} & \mathrm{U}_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{U}_n/\mathrm{U}_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{U}_{n+1}/\mathrm{U}_{n+2}. \end{array}$$

(117) *For every* $n > 0$ *if* $p \neq 2$ *(resp.* $n > 1$ *if* $p = 2$*), the group* $\mathrm{U}_1/\mathrm{U}_n$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{U}_2/\mathrm{U}_n$*) is cyclic of order* p^{n-1} *(resp.* 2^{n-2}*).*

Proof. Let us first treat the case $p \neq 2$. Choose an α (for example $\alpha = 1 + p$) such that $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}_1$ but $\alpha \notin \mathrm{U}_2$. By repeated application of (??), we see that $\alpha^{p^i} \in \mathrm{U}_{i+1}$ but $\alpha^{p^i} \notin \mathrm{U}_{i+2}$ for every $i > 0$. In other words, if we denote by α_n the image of α in $\mathrm{U}_1/\mathrm{U}_n$, then $(\alpha_n)^{p^{n-2}} \neq 1$ whereas $(\alpha_n)^{p^{n-1}} = 1$, or equivalently α_n has order p^{n-1}. But the group $\mathrm{U}_1/\mathrm{U}_n$ has order p^{n-1} because it has a filtration

$$\mathrm{U}_n/\mathrm{U}_n \subset \mathrm{U}_{n-1}/\mathrm{U}_n \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{U}_2/\mathrm{U}_n \subset \mathrm{U}_1/\mathrm{U}_n$$

whose successive quotients $(\mathrm{U}_i/\mathrm{U}_n)/(\mathrm{U}_{i+1}/\mathrm{U}_n) = \mathrm{U}_i/\mathrm{U}_{i+1}$ have order p (??), so $\mathrm{U}_1/\mathrm{U}_n$ is cyclic (and α_n is a generator).

The case $p = 2$ is similar. Choose an α (for example $\alpha = 1 + 2^2$) such that $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}_2$ but $\alpha \notin \mathrm{U}_3$. We observe (??) that $\alpha^{2^i} \in \mathrm{U}_{i+2}$ but $\alpha^{2^i} \notin \mathrm{U}_{i+3}$ for every $i > 0$, so if α_n is the image of α in $\mathrm{U}_2/\mathrm{U}_n$, then $(\alpha_n)^{2^{n-3}} \neq 1$

whereas $(\alpha_n)^{2^{n-2}} = 1$. But the group U_2/U_n has order 2^{n-2}, so it is cyclic (and α_n is a generator). □

(118) *For $p \neq 2$, the group U_1 is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_p$. For $p = 2$, the group U_2 is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_2$, and $U_1 = \mathbf{Z}^\times \times U_2$. More precisely, for every $\alpha \in U_1$ such that $\alpha \notin U_2$ (resp. $\alpha \in U_2$ such that $\alpha \notin U_3$), there is a unique isomorphism $f : \mathbf{Z}_p \to U_1$ (resp. $\mathbf{Z}_2 \to U_2$) such that $f(1) = \alpha$.*

Proof. We have seen that the group U_1/U_n (resp. U_2/U_n) is cyclic of order p^{n-1} (resp. 2^{n-2}), and the image α_n, for any α such that $\alpha \in U_1$ (resp. $\alpha \in U_2$) but $\alpha \notin U_2$ (resp. $\alpha \notin U_3$), is a generator. Moreover, the image of $\alpha_{n+1} \in U_1/U_{n+1}$ in U_1/U_n is α_n, so we get commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U_1/U_{n+1} \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_{n-1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U_1/U_n \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z}/2^{n-1}\mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U_2/U_{n+1} \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_{n-2}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z}/2^{n-2}\mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U_2/U_n \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal maps are isomorphisms (coming from our choice of α). Since $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the projective limit of the vertical maps on the left, and U_1 (resp. U_2) is the projective limit of the vertical maps on the right, it follows that there is a unique isomorphism $\mathbf{Z}_p \to U_1$ such that $1 \mapsto \alpha$.

Finally, for $p = 2$, we have $U_1/U_2 = (\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z})^\times$ and the isomorphism $\mathbf{Z}^\times \to (\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z})^\times$, so the multiplication map $\mathbf{Z}^\times \times U_2 \to U_1$ is also an isomorphism : clearly every $x \in U_1$ can be uniquely written as $x = su$ ($s \in \mathbf{Z}^\times$, $u \in U_2$). □

(119) For $p = 2$, the restriction of $\lambda_8 : \mathbf{Z}_2^\times \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$ to U_2 induces the unique isomorphism $U_2/U_3 \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$. Conversely, λ_8 can be recovered from this isomorphism via the projection $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times/\mathbf{Z}^\times \to U_2$ (which can be written $a \mapsto \lambda_4(a)a$).

(120) Note that the procedure in (??) gives each U_m ($m > 0$) the structure of a (multiplicatively written) $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module. Let us explain this for $m = 1$ for simplicity. Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ and $u \in U_1$; they give rise to coherent sequences of elements $a_n \in \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$ and $u_{n+1} \in U_1/U_{n+1}$, and hence a coherent sequence of elements $u_{n+1}^{a_n} \in U_1/U_{n+1}$ which defines $u^a \in U_1$ in the limit. Similarly, for $m > 1$, the system $(U_m/U_{m+r+1} \to U_m/U_{m+r})_{r>0}$ consists of $\mathbf{Z}_p$-modules, hence its projective limit U_m is a $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module. The U_m are all free of rank 1 except when $p = 2$ and $m = 1$, in which case the torsion subgroup is $\mathbf{Z}^\times \subset U_1$ (??).

(121) *Let $p \neq 2$ be a prime and let $n > 0$. The projection $\mathrm{G}_{p^n} \to \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ has a canonical section, and G_{p^n} is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}_p^\times \times (\mathrm{U}_1/\mathrm{U}_n)$. The group $\mathrm{U}_1/\mathrm{U}_n$ is cyclic of order p^{n-1} and it is generated by $1+p$.*

Proof. Indeed, $\mathrm{G}_{p^n} = \mathbf{Z}_p^\times/\mathrm{U}_n$ (??), we know the structure of $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ from (??), and we've seen that $\mathrm{U}_1/\mathrm{U}_n$ is cyclic of order p^{n-1} and generated by $1+p$ (??). □

(122) *Let $n > 1$. The projection $\mathrm{G}_{2^n} \to \mathrm{G}_{2^2}$ has a canonical section, and G_{2^n} is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}^\times \times \mathrm{U}_2/\mathrm{U}_n$. The group $\mathrm{U}_2/\mathrm{U}_n$ is cyclic of order 2^{n-2} and it is generated by $1+2^2$.*

Proof. Indeed, $\mathrm{G}_{2^n} = \mathbf{Z}_2^\times/\mathrm{U}_n$ (??), we know the structure of $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times$ from (??), and we've seen that $\mathrm{U}_2/\mathrm{U}_n$ is cyclic of order 2^{n-2} and generated by $1+2^2$ (??). □

(123) It follows from the foregoing that G_{p^n} $(n > 0)$ is cyclic for every prime $p \neq 2$, and G_{2^n} $(n > 1)$ is cyclic if and only if $n = 2$.

(124) The existence of the canonical section $\mathbf{F}_p^\times \to \mathrm{G}_{p^n}$ (p odd prime, $n > 0$) could also have been deduced from the following algebraic lemma. *Let $0 \to \mathrm{A} \to \mathrm{E} \to \mathrm{B} \to 0$ be an exact sequence of finite commutative groups such that the orders a, b of A, B have no common prime factors. Let B' be the set of $x \in \mathrm{E}$ such that $bx = 0$. Then E is the internal direct sum of A and B', and B' is the only subgroup of E isomorphic to B.*

Proof. Let $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $ar + bs = 1$. If $x \in \mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B}'$, then $ax = bx = 0$, hence $(ar+bs)x = x = 0$, and cosequently $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B}' = 0$. Next, since $b\mathrm{B} = 0$, we have $b\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{A}$, and hence $bsx \in \mathrm{A}$ for every $x \in \mathrm{E}$. At the same time, from $ab\mathrm{E} = 0$ it follows that $arx \in \mathrm{B}'$ for every $x \in \mathrm{E}$. But every $x \in \mathrm{E}$ can be written as $x = arx + bsx$, so $\mathrm{E} = \mathrm{A} + \mathrm{B}'$, and, in view of $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B}' = 0$, the sum is direct and the projection $\mathrm{E} \to \mathrm{B}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{B}' \to \mathrm{B}$. Conversely, if B'' is a subgroup of E isomorphic to B, then $b\mathrm{B}'' = 0$, hence $\mathrm{B}'' \subset \mathrm{B}'$ and $\mathrm{B}'' = \mathrm{B}'$ because they have the same order. □

(125) *For every $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ prime to p and for every $n > 0$, the map $(\ )^a : \mathrm{U}_n \to \mathrm{U}_n$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Indeed, each U_n is a $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module (??) and a, being prime to p, is invertible in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ (??). □

Exercises

(126) For which $u \in \mathbf{Z}_3^\times$ does the polynomial $\mathrm{T}^3 - u$ have a root in $\mathbf{Z}_3$? (The only cubes in $(\mathbf{Z}/3^2\mathbf{Z})^\times$ are ± 1, and the only cubes in $(\mathbf{Z}/3^3\mathbf{Z})^\times$ are $\pm 1, \pm 8, \pm 10$. If u is congruent to one of these $(\mathrm{mod.}\, 3^3)$, then (??) can be applied with $m = 3$, $\delta = 1$.)

(127) Let $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$, and let $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ be any lift of a in the sense that $\pi_1(x) = a$. Show that the sequence $(x^{p^n})_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ converges in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ to $\omega(a)$. (*Hint :* Reduce to the case $a = 1$ and use the fact that $(\ )^p$ maps U_m into U_{m+1}).

(128) Let $\mathrm{R} \subset \mathbf{Z}_p$ be the set of multiplicative representatives (??) of $\mathbf{F}_p$. Show that for every $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$, the series $\sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} a_n p^n$ converges in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ and that the map $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \mapsto \sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} a_n p^n$ is a bijection $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \to \mathbf{Z}_p$. (*Hint :* Construct a reciprocal map by associating to $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ the sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ inductively defined by $a_0 \equiv x \pmod{p}$, $a_1 \equiv \frac{(x-a_0)}{p} \pmod{p}$, and so on.)

(129) Let $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, and let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$, $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ be the sequences in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$ such that $x = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} a_n p^n$ and $y = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} b_n p^n$. Find the sequences corresponding to $x + y$ and xy.

(130) Let $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ be an algebraic closure of the field $\mathbf{F}_p$, and give the group $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ the discrete topology. Show that every continuous morphism of groups $\chi : \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \to \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ factors through the quotient $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. (*Hint :* As χ is continuous and $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ is discrete, there is an $n > 0$ such that $\mathrm{U}_n \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,\chi$. Note that every element of $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ has order prime to p.)

(131) Let G be a finite commutative group (written multiplicatively), and let s be the product of all elements of G. Show that if G has a unique element τ of order 2, then $s = \tau$, otherwise $s = 1$. (Consider the involution $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ of G.) Take $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}_m$ for some integer $m > 0$. Show that $s = -1$ if $m = 4$ or $m = l^a$ or $m = 2l^a$ for some prime $l \neq 2$; otherwise $s = 1$. (Use the structure of G_{p^n} (??) and the Chinese remainder theorem.)

(132) Recall that for every integer $m > 0$ we are using the abbreviation $\mathrm{G}_m = (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^\times$. A *character* of G_m is a morphism $\chi : \mathrm{G}_m \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ of groups ; quadratic characters (??) are a special case. If m is a multiple of some $m' > 0$, then every character of $\mathrm{G}_{m'}$ can be viewed as a character of G_m via the projection $\varphi_{m,m'} : \mathrm{G}_m \to \mathrm{G}_{m'}$. If χ is *not* of the form $\chi' \circ \varphi_{m,m'}$ for any character χ' of $\mathrm{G}_{m'}$ for any divisor $m' < m$, we say that χ is *primitive*, and that the *conductor* of χ is m. The quadratic characters λ_p (p odd prime), λ_4, λ_8, $\lambda_4\lambda_8$ are primitive of conductors p, 4, 8, 8 respectively ; show that these are the only primitive quadratic characters of prime-power conductor (???).

(133) For every character χ of G_m, we have $\chi(-1)^2 = 1$ and hence $\chi(-1) = 1$ or $\chi(-1) = -1$. We say that χ is *even* if $\chi(-1) = 1$, *odd* if $\chi(-1) = -1$; this extends the terminology introduced earlier (???) for quadratic characters. Define $\varepsilon(\chi) \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ by $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(\chi)}$, so that $\varepsilon(\chi) = 0$ if χ is even and $\varepsilon(\chi) = 1$ if χ is odd. (For the

quadratic characters λ_*, we had earlier defined $\varepsilon_*(-1) \in \mathbf{F}_2$ (??) ; the newly defined $\varepsilon(\lambda_*)$ is the multiplicative representative (??) of $\varepsilon_*(-1)$. The advantage of the new definition is that whereas the congruence $\varepsilon(\chi_1\chi_2) - \varepsilon(\chi_1) - \varepsilon(\chi_2) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ holds for any two characters χ_1, χ_2, we have the inequality $\varepsilon(\chi_1\chi_2) - \varepsilon(\chi_1) - \varepsilon(\chi_2) \neq 0$ when both χ_1 and χ_2 are odd.) Let χ_1, χ_2 be primitive quadratic characters of G_{m_1}, G_{m_2} respectively such that $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$, and let $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2$ be the quadratic character of $\mathrm{G}_{m_1 m_2}$ coming from the isomorphism $\mathrm{G}_{m_1 m_2} \to \mathrm{G}_{m_1} \times \mathrm{G}_{m_2}$; show that χ is primitive. Show that for every primitive 4-th root i of 1 in $\mathbf{C}$, we have

$$\chi_1(m_2)\chi_2(m_1) = i^{\varepsilon(\chi_1\chi_2)-\varepsilon(\chi_1)-\varepsilon(\chi_2)}.$$

(134) We have seen (???) that the identity $(\mathrm{T}+1)^p = \mathrm{T}^p + 1$ holds in the polynomial ring $\mathbf{F}_p[\mathrm{T}]$. Show that, conversely, if $(\mathrm{T}+1)^n = \mathrm{T}^n + 1$ in $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{T}]$ for some $n > 1$, then n is prime. (If n is not prime, let $p < n$ be a prime divisor of n and put $\delta = v_p(n)$, so that $\delta > 0$. The coefficient of T^p in the binomial expansion of $(\mathrm{T}+1)^n$ is $\binom{n}{p} = n(n-1)\ldots(n-(p-1))/p!$, so $v_p(\binom{n}{p}) = \delta - 1$. This implies that $\binom{n}{p} \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$.)

Lecture 5

$\mathbf{Q}_p$

(135) Let p be a prime number. We define $\mathbf{Q}_p$ to be the field of fractions of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ (??). Since every $x \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ can be uniquely written as $x = p^m u$ ($m \in \mathbf{N}$, $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$), we have $\mathbf{Q}_p = \mathbf{Z}_p[\frac{1}{p}]$, and every $x \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ can be uniquely written as $x = p^m u$ ($m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$) ; we pose $v_p(x) = m$. This new definition of v_p extends our earlier definition (??).

(136) The resulting homomorphism $v_p : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \to \mathbf{Z}$ is a *valuation* in the sense that $v_p(x+y) \geq \mathrm{Inf}(v_p(x), v_p(y))$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ (with the convention $v_p(0) = +\infty$). For $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$, put $|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}$. Then $|\ |_p$ is a homomorphism $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times \to \mathbf{R}_+^\times$ satisfying $|a+b|_p \leq \mathrm{Sup}(|a|_p + |b|_p)$ (with the convention $|0|_p = 0$), and $d_p(x,y) = |x-y|_p$ is a distance on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ satisfying $d_p(x,z) \leq \mathrm{Sup}(d_p(x,y), d_p(y,z))$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Q}_p$.

(137) For every $x \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$, we have $|x|_v = 1$ for almost all places v of $\mathbf{Q}$ and the *product formula* $\prod_v |x|_v = 1$ holds. Indeed, in view of the multiplicativity of the $|\ |_v$, it is enough to verify it for $x = -1$ and $x = p$ for every prime p.

(138) For every $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have the sub-$\mathbf{Z}_p$-module of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ generated by p^m, and the inclusion $p^{m+1}\mathbf{Z}_p \subset p^m \mathbf{Z}_p$; the union of this increasing sequence (when $m \to -\infty$) is $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

(139) *The field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is locally compact, complete for d_p, and the subring $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is dense.*

Proof. Recall (??) that $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is compact ; as it is defined as a subspace of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ by $d_p(0,x) < p$, it is an open neighbourhood of 0. Therefore $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is locally compact and therefore complete, like any locally compact commutative group. Another way to prove completeness is to remark that if (x_n) is a fundamental sequence in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, then there is an $\mathrm{M} > 0$ such that the sequence $(p^{\mathrm{M}} x_n)$ is (fundamental and) in $\mathbf{Z}_p$; if $y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ is the limit of the latter sequence, then the former sequence has the limit $x = p^{-\mathrm{M}} y$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

(140) We have seen (??) that every $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ can be uniquely written as $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} b_n p^n$, with $b_i \in [0, p[$. It follows that every $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ can be uniquely written as $\sum_{n \geq v_p(x)} b_n p^n$, making x the limit of the sequence $(s_m)_m$ of partial sums of the series representing x. But each s_m is in $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$, so $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is dense in $\mathbf{Q}_p$. □

(141) An element $\pi \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ is called a *uniformiser* if $v_p(\pi) = 1$; the simplest example is $\pi = p$. The choice of a uniformiser π leads to the

splitting $1 \mapsto \pi$ of the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0,$$

and thus to an isomorphism $(m, u) \mapsto \pi^m u$ of groups $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. If we also choose a generator α of the (free rank-1) $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module U_1 when $p \neq 2$ (resp. U_2 when $p = 2$), then $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{F}_p^\times \times \mathbf{Z}_p$ ($p \neq 2$) (???), and $\mathbf{Q}_2^\times = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^\times \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ (???).

(142) *If $p \neq 2$, the group $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$ consists of $\{\bar{1}; \bar{u}; \bar{p}, \bar{u}\bar{p}\}$, where $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ is any unit such that $\lambda_p(u) = -1$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the isomorphism (??) and the fact that $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times/\mathbf{Z}_p^{\times 2} = \{1, \bar{u}\}$ (??).

(143) *We have $\mathbf{Q}_2^\times/\mathbf{Q}_2^{\times 2} = \{\bar{1}; \bar{5}; -\bar{1}, -\bar{5}; \bar{2}, \overline{10}, -\bar{2}, -\overline{10}\}$.*

Proof. This follows similarly from the isomorphism (??) and the fact that $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times/\mathbf{Z}_2^{\times 2} = \{\bar{1}; \bar{5}; -\bar{1}, -\bar{5}\}$ (??). □

(144) For every p, the morphism $\nu_p(x) = (-1)^{v_p(x)}$ is a quadratic character of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$; we call it the *unramified* quadratic character. Choosing a uniformiser π, we get a retraction $x \mapsto x\pi^{-v_p(x)}$ of the inclusion $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$, allowing us to view quadratic characters of $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ as *ramified* quadratic characters of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$; for the moment, don't worry about the meaning of these words. We choose the uniformiser p to fix ideas.

(145) *For $p \neq 2$, the quadratic characters ν_p, λ_p constitute a basis of the $\mathbf{F}_2$-space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p^\times, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$; their values on the basis $\bar{u}, \bar{p}$ of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$ are given by the matrix*

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ u \\ p \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \nu_p & \lambda_p \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}.$$

□

(146) *The quadratic characters $\nu_2, \lambda_4, \lambda_8$ constitute a basis of the $\mathbf{F}_2$-space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_2^\times, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$. Their values on the basis $\bar{5}, -\bar{1}, \bar{2}$ of $\mathbf{Q}_2^\times/\mathbf{Q}_2^{\times 2}$ are given by*

$$\begin{array}{r} \\ 5 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} \nu_2 & \lambda_4 & \lambda_8 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}.$$

□

(147) Let us extend the hilbertian symbol $(\ ,\ )_p$ to $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. Write $a = p^{v_p(a)}u_a$ and $a = p^{v_p(b)}u_b$, and as in (??) put

$$t_{a,b} = (-1)^{v_p(a)v_p(b)} u_a^{v_p(b)} u_b^{-v_p(a)}$$

If $p \neq 2$, define $(a,b)_p = \lambda_p(t_{a,b})$, as in (??). Similarly, as in (??), define

$$(a,b)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(u_a)\varepsilon_4(u_b)+\varepsilon_8(t_{a,b})}$$

(148) It is readily verified this new definition is compatible with the old (??), and continues to enjoy all the properties listed in (??) to (??).

(149) *Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. If $a + b = 1$, then $(a,b)_p = 1$.*

Proof. ??? □

(150) For every p, the pairing $(\ ,\ )_p$ is *invertible* in the sense that its matrix (for $p \neq 2$ and $p = 2$ respectively)

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ u \\ p \end{array}\!\!\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} u & p \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & \lambda_4(p) \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \qquad \begin{array}{c} \\ 5 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{array}\!\!\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} 5 & -1 & 2 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array},$$

(with entries in $\mathbf{Z}^\times$) with respect to the given basis of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$ is invertible (when viewed with entries in the field $\mathbf{F}_2$). Indeed, in $\mathbf{F}_2$,

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \varepsilon_4(p) \end{vmatrix} = 1, \qquad \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1.$$

(151) The reader must have noticed that these matrices are the same as the ones in (??) and (??) giving the values of the basic quadratic characters on the chosen basis of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$, with the important exception of the entry $\lambda_4(p) = \lambda_p(-1)$. This phenomenon will get explained later when we will have interpreted the hilbertian symbol in terms of the reciprocity isomorphism for the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of exponent 2.

(152) Before proceeding further, we need a small general lemma. Let k any field of characteristic $\neq 2$, and let $a, b \in k^\times$. If there is a pair $(x,y) \in k^2$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$, then certainly there is a triple $(x,y,s) \neq (0,0,0)$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = s^2$. *Conversely, if there is such a triple, then there is a desired pair.* This is clear if $s \neq 0$. If $s = 0$ (in which case $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$), we have $-a = b/t^2$ with $t = y/x$ and $a\left(\frac{a+1}{2a}\right)^2 + b\left(\frac{a-1}{2at}\right)^2 = 1$, so a suitable pair exists.

(153) *Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. There exist $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$ if and only if $(a,b)_p = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that there do exist $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$. If $x = 0$ (resp. $y = 0$), then b (resp. a) is in $\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$, and hence $(a,b)_p = 1$. If $xy \neq 0$, then

$$(a,b)_p = (ax^2, by^2)_p = 1,$$

since $(c,d)_p = 1$ whenever $c+d = 1$ (???). It remains to prove the converse.

(154) Suppose that $(a,b)_p = 1$; we have to show that there do exist $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$. Since the value $(a,b)_p$ as well as the existence of x, y depend only on the classes of a and b modulo $\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$, we need only consider the following cases

$$v_p(a) = v_p(b) = 0\ ; \quad v_p(a) = 0,\ v_p(b) = 1\ ; \quad v_p(a) = v_p(b) = 1.$$

(155) In fact, the last case can be reduced to the middle case upon replacing a by $-ab^{-1}$. First,

$$(-ab^{-1}, b)_p = (a,b)_p(-b^{-1}, b)_p = (a,b)_p(-b, b)_p = (a,b)_p.$$

Secondly, the existence of $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $-ab^{-1}x^2 + by^2 = 1$ is equivalent to the existence of $(x,y,z) \neq (0,0,0)$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p^3$ such that $-ab^{-1}x^2 + by^2 = z^2$ (??). Multiplying throughout by b and rearranging, the latter becomes $ax^2 + bz^2 = (by)^2$, which can be seen as before to be equivalent to the existence of $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$.

(156) *The case* $v_p(a) = v_p(b) = 0$; *subcase* $p \neq 2$. Consider the subset $\mathrm{S} \subset \mathbf{F}_p$ of all elements of the form $\bar{a}\xi^2$ ($\xi \in \mathbf{F}_p$) and the subset $\mathrm{T} \subset \mathbf{F}_p$ of all elements of the form $1 - \bar{b}\eta^2$ ($\eta \in \mathbf{F}_p$) ; each of these has $(p+1)/2$ elements, so there exist $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. If $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then the unit $(1 - by^2)a^{-1}$ is a square $\pmod{p}$, and hence the square of some $t \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$. We then have $at^2 + by^2 = 1$, and we are done. If $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then for the same reason $b = t^2$ for some $t \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$, and we have $a.0^2 + b.(t^{-1})^2 = 1$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

(157) *The subcase* $p = 2$. As $(a,b)_2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon_4(a)\varepsilon_4(b)} = 1$, we may suppose (up to interchanging a and b) that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, or equivalently $a \equiv_8 1$ or $a \equiv_8 5$. If $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then there is a $t \in \mathbf{Z}_2^{\times}$ such that $a = t^2$, and hence $a(t^{-1})^2 + b.0^2 = 1$ in $\mathbf{Q}_2$. Suppose finally that $a \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. As $4b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, we have $a \equiv 1 - 4b \pmod{8}$, and there is a $t \in \mathbf{Z}_2^{\times}$ such that $t^2 = (1-4b)a^{-1}$. We then have $a.t^2 + b.2^2 = 1$, and we are done.

(158) *The case* $v_p(a) = 0$, $v_p(b) = 1$; *subcase* $p \neq 2$. The hypothesis $(a,b)_p = \lambda_p(a) = 1$ implies that $\bar{a} \in \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}$, so (??) there is a $t \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$ such that $a = t^2$, and then $a(t^{-1})^2 + b.0^2 = 1$.

(159) *The subcase* $p = 2$. The reader should check that the hypothesis $(a,b)_2 = 1$ is equivalent in this subcase to "either $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ or $a \equiv 1 - b \pmod{8}$", so there exists a $t \in \mathbf{Z}_2^{\times}$ such that $t^2 = a$ or $t^2 = (1-b)a^{-1}$. In the former case we have $a(t^{-1})^2 + b.0^2 = 1$, and in the latter $at^2 + b.1^2 = 1$, so we are done. □

(160) Let $b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^{\times}$, and put $\mathrm{K}_b = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{b})$, so that the degree $[\mathrm{K}_b : \mathbf{Q}_p]$ equals 1 or 2 according as $b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$ or $b \notin \mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$. We have the norm

homomorphism $\mathrm{N}_b : \mathrm{K}_b^\times \to \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ which is the identity in case $[\mathrm{K}_b : \mathbf{Q}_p] = 1$ and sends $x + y\sqrt{b}$ $(x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p)$ to $x^2 - by^2$ in case $[\mathrm{K}_b : \mathbf{Q}_p] = 2$.

(161) *Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. We have $(a, b)_p = 1$ if and only if $a \in \mathrm{N}_b(\mathrm{K}_b^\times)$.*

Proof. There is nothing to prove if $b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$. If not, the proposition follows from the equivalence of the following four conditions :

i) $(a, b)_p = 1$,
ii) there exists a pair (x, y) in $\mathbf{Q}_p^2$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$ (??),
iii) there exists a triple $(x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p^3$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = z^2$,
iv) there exists a pair (y, z) in $\mathbf{Q}_p^2$ such that $a = z^2 - by^2$ (??). □

(162) This proposition can be interpreted as saying that $\mathrm{N}_b(\mathrm{K}_b^\times) = b^\perp$, where the orthogonal is taken with respect to the hilbertian pairing.

(163) Note finally that for $a, b \in \mathbf{R}^\times$ and $\mathrm{K}_b = \mathbf{R}(\sqrt{b})$, we have $a \in \mathrm{N}_b(\mathrm{K}_b^\times)$ if and only if $(a, b)_\infty = 1$.

(164) *For every quadratic extension* E *of* $\mathbf{Q}_p$*, the subgroup* $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$ *of* $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ *is an open subgroup of index* 2. □

Exercises

(165) Show that if $v_p(x) < v_p(y)$, then $v_p(x + y) = v_p(x)$. Give an example where $v_p(x) = v_p(y)$ but $v_p(x + y) > v_p(x)$.

(166) Show that for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, the subset $v_p^{-1}([n, +\infty])$ of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is $p^n\mathbf{Z}_p$.

(167) The product formula (???) can be rewritten as $|x|_\infty = \prod_p |x|_p^{-1}$ $(x \in \mathbf{Q}^\times)$. Show the unicity of this formula in the following sense : if the reals $s_p > 0$ are such that $|x|_\infty = \prod_p |x|_p^{-s_p}$ for every $x \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$, then $s_p = 1$ for every p. (Take $x = l$, where l runs through the primes).

(168) Show that the polynomial $(\mathrm{T}^2 - 2)(\mathrm{T}^2 - 17)(\mathrm{T}^2 - 34)$ has roots in $\mathbf{R}$ and in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ for every prime p but doesn't have a root in $\mathbf{Q}$.

(169) For every integer $m > 0$, find an $\mathbf{F}_2$-basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{G}_m, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$, where $\mathrm{G}_m = (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^\times$. (*Hint :* The structure of G_{p^n} was determined in (??) ; note that λ_p is a quadratic character of G_m for every odd prime divisor p of m, so is λ_4 if $v_2(m) > 1$, and so is λ_8 if $v_2(m) > 2$.)

(170) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times$. Show that a necessary and sufficient condition for $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ to have only the trivial solution $(0, 0, 0)$ in $\mathbf{Q}_2$ is that $a \equiv b \equiv c \pmod 4$. (*Hint :* The equation $dx^2 + ey^2 = 1$ $(d, e \in \mathbf{Q}_2^\times)$ has a solution in $\mathbf{Q}_2$ if and only if $(d, e)_2 = 1$. If $d, e \in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times$, then $(d, e)_2 = (-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}\frac{e-1}{2}}$. Finally take $d = -ca$, $e = -cb$ and show that the condition $(d, e)_2 = -1$ is equivalent to the given condition

$a \equiv b \equiv c \pmod 4$.)

(171) Show that for $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$, the relation "$x \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$" (or "$v_p(x) = 0$") is equivalent to "$x \in \mathbf{Q}_p^{\times m}$ for every m prime to $(p-1)p$".

(172) Show that the only morphism $\sigma : \mathbf{Q}_p \to \mathbf{Q}_p$ of fields is the identity. (Conclude from (???) that $\sigma(\mathbf{Z}_p^\times) \subset \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$, so σ preserves the valuation and $\sigma(p^r\mathbf{Z}_p) \subset p^r\mathbf{Z}_p$ for every $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, and hence σ is continuous.)

(173) Show that the only morphism $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ of fields is the identity. (As $\mathbf{R}^{\times 2} = \mathbf{R}_+^\times$, the order is preserved by σ and hence it is continuous.)

(174) For every prime p and every $m > 0$, compute the index of the subgroup $\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times m} \subset \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. (Use the decomposition (???).)

(175) Let $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, let $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n p^n$ be its p-adic exapnsion (so that $a_n \in [1, p[$ and $a_n = 0$ for almost all $n < 0$), put $\langle x \rangle_p = \sum_{n<0} a_n p^n$, and view $\langle x \rangle_p$ as a real number in $[0, 1[\cap \mathbf{Z}[1/p]$. Show that $x \mapsto e^{2i\pi\langle x\rangle_p}$ is a continuous morphism of groups $\mathbf{Q}_p \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ whose kernel is $\mathbf{Z}_p$.

(176) Show that the image of every *continuous* morphism $\psi : \mathbf{Q}_p \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ (of locally compact groups) is contained in the subgroup of p-power roots of 1. (For every $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, the subgroup $p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ is compact, so its image $\psi(p^m\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is contained in the unit circle $\mathbf{U}$. As $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is the union of these compact subgroups, $\psi(\mathbf{Q}_p) \subset \mathbf{U}$. Next, let V be an open neighbourhood of $1 \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ which contains only the trivial subgroup of $\mathbf{C}^\times$. Then $\psi^{-1}(\mathrm{V})$ is an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ and hence contains $p^{\mathrm{N}}\mathbf{Z}_p$ for some (and in fact for every) sufficiently large $\mathrm{N} \in \mathbf{Z}$, so that $\psi(p^{\mathrm{N}}\mathbf{Z}_p) = 1$; fix such an N. Finally observe that $\mathbf{Q}_p/p^{\mathrm{N}}\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the union of $p^m\mathbf{Z}_p/p^{\mathrm{N}}\mathbf{Z}_p$ for $m < \mathrm{N}$, and each of these quotients is (cyclic) of order $p^{\mathrm{N}-m}$. Conclude.)

(177) Show that for every continous morphism of groups $\chi : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \to \mathbf{C}^\times$, there exists an $n > 0$ such that $\chi(\mathrm{U}_n) = 1$. We say that χ is *unramified* if $\chi(\mathbf{Z}_p^\times) = 1$, (at worst) *tamely ramified* if $\chi(\mathrm{U}_1) = 1$, *totally ramified* if $\chi(\pi) = 1$ for some uniformiser π of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and *wildly ramified* if $\chi(\mathrm{U}_1) \neq 1$. Classify all unramified χ, all tamely ramified χ, and all totally ramified χ. (Use the decomposition (???) of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$.)

(178) (Bourgain-Larsen, 2014) For every subgroup $\mathrm{G} \subset \mathbf{Q}^\times$ and every finte set S of places of $\mathbf{Q}$, denote by $\mathrm{G_S}$ the closure of G in the product $\prod_{v \in \mathrm{S}} \mathbf{Q}_v^\times$; in particular, for every place v of $\mathbf{Q}$, the closure of G in $\mathbf{Q}_v^\times$ is denoted G_v. We want to give an example of a subgroup G (of finite index in $\mathbf{Q}^\times$) and a triple $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ such that the equation $ax + by + cy = 0$ has a solution x_v, y_v, z_v in G_v at every place v of $\mathbf{Q}$ but no solution x, y, z in G.

(179) Take $\mathrm{G} \subset \mathbf{Q}^\times$ to be the subgroup generated by the numbers $3^m 5^n$

such that $m \equiv n \pmod 4$ and all numbers $t \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ which, when viewed in $\mathbf{Q}_3^\times$ and $\mathbf{Q}_5^\times$, are such that $t \in 1 + 3\mathbf{Z}_3$ and $t \in 1 + 5\mathbf{Z}_5$ (so that in particular $v_3(t) = 0$ and $v_5(t) = 0$). Show that the index of G in $\mathbf{Q}^\times$ is $4.\varphi(3).\varphi(5) = 32$. Show that $\mathrm{G}_v = \mathbf{Q}_v^\times$ for every place $v \neq 3, 5$, and that $\mathrm{G}_3 = 3^{\mathbf{Z}}(1 + 3\mathbf{Z}_3)$, $\mathrm{G}_5 = 5^{\mathbf{Z}}(1 + 5\mathbf{Z}_5)$.

(180) However, $\mathrm{G}_{\{3,5\}}$ is not equal to the product $\mathrm{G}_3 \times \mathrm{G}_5$; rather, it is equal to the subgroup consisting of $(t_3, t_5) \in \mathrm{G}_3 \times \mathrm{G}_5$ such that $v_3(t_3) \equiv v_5(t_5) \pmod 4$.

(181) Show that the equation $63x + 30y + 25z = 0$ has a solution in G_3 (for example $-5, 3, 9$), and that every solution x_3, y_3, z_3 satisfies

$$v_3(x_3) = v_3(y_3) - 1 = v_3(z_3) - 2.$$

Similarly, show that the given equation has a solution in G_5 (for example $25, -45, -9$), and that every solution x_5, y_5, z_5 satisfies

$$v_5(x_5) = v_5(y_3) + 1 = v_5(z_5) + 2.$$

(182) Conclude that the equation $63x + 30y + 25z = 0$ has no solutions $x, y, z \in \mathrm{G}$ (even though it has a solution in G_v for every place v of $\mathbf{Q}$) because it doesn't have any solution in $\mathrm{G}_{\{3,5\}}$.

Lecture 6

$\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{\mathbf{Q}_p^\times})$

(183) Let p be an *odd* prime number (resp. $p = 2$). We have seen that the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ has three (resp. seven) quadratic extensions, namely those obtained by adjoining $\sqrt{u}$, $\sqrt{-p}, \sqrt{u.-p}$ (the reason for choosing $-p$ instead of p is that $(-p,p)_p = 1$ whereas $(p,p)_p = 1$ only when $\lambda_4(p) = 1$)

$$(\text{resp. } \sqrt{5},\ \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{5.-1},\ \sqrt{2},\ \sqrt{5.2},\ \sqrt{-1.2}, \sqrt{5.-1.2}).$$

where, for $p \neq 2$, any unit $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ such that $\lambda_p(u) = -1$ can be chosen. We have also seen that the group $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ has three (resp. seven) quadratic characters, namely $\nu_p,\ \lambda_p,\ \nu_p\lambda_p$

$$(\text{resp. } \nu_2,\ \ \lambda_4,\ \ \nu_2\lambda_4,\ \ \lambda_8,\ \ \nu_2\lambda_8,\ \ \lambda_4\lambda_8,\ \ \nu_2\lambda_4\lambda_8)$$

(with the choice of p as a uniformiser of $\mathbf{Q}_p$). One gets the feeling that there is a canonical bijection between these two lists, but what characterises this bijection ?

(184) *There is a unique bijection* $\mathrm{E} \mapsto \chi$ *between the set of quadratic extensions of* $\mathbf{Q}_p$ *and the set of quadratic characters of* $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ *such that* $\mathrm{Ker}(\chi) = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$. *The induced map* $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p^\times, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$ *is an isomorphism of groups.*

Proof. We claim that the bijection given by the order in which the two lists have been written in (??) is the required one. This is simple to verify ; let us check for example that $\mathrm{E} = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{u})$ (resp. $\mathrm{E} = \mathbf{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$) corresponds to $\chi = \nu_p$. As $\mathrm{Ker}(\nu_p) = p^{2\mathbf{Z}}.\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$, this amounts to checking that an $a \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ is in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$ if and only if $v_p(a) \in 2\mathbf{Z}$. Now, $a \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $a = x^2 - uy^2$ (resp. $a = x^2 - 5y^2$), which can be seen to be equivalent to $(a,u)_p = 1$ (resp. $(a,5)_2 = 1$). The explicit formulæ for the pairing $(\ ,\ )_p$ tell us that this last condition holds if and only if $v_p(a) \in 2\mathbf{Z}$.

The proof in the other cases is similar. For example, to check that $\chi = \lambda_p$ corresponds to $\mathrm{E} = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{-p})$ (for $p \neq 2$), we have to show that for a given $a = p^m u$ (with $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$), we have $a \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$ if and only if $\lambda_p(u) = 1$. The first condition translates into the existence of $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $a = x^2 + py^2$, or equivalently $(a,-p)_p = 1$. But $(a,-p)_p = (p^m u, -p)_p = (p^m, -p)_p (u, -p)_p = \lambda_p(u)$, so we are done.

As a random example, take $\chi = \lambda_8$ and $\mathrm{E} = \mathbf{Q}_2(\sqrt{2})$. An $a \in \mathbf{Q}_2^\times$ is in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_2}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_2$ such that $a = x^2 - 2y^2$,

which is equivalent to $(a,2)_2 = 1$, which is equivalent to $\lambda_8(a) = 1$. The conscientious reader should check the remaining cases.

We thus get a bijection $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p^\times, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$, and it remains to show that it is an isomorphism. A cursory look at the two lists is enough to conclude that it is indeed so. □

(185) Actually, (??) is a corollary of what we have proved earlier. We have canonical bijections between the following four sets :

$\mathcal{E}$, the set of quadratic extensions of $\mathbf{Q}_p$,

$\mathcal{H}$, the set of index-2 subgroups of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$,

$\mathcal{L}$, the set of order-2 subgroups of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$,

$\mathcal{Q}$, the set of quadratic characters of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$.

The bijection $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{H}$ sends E to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathrm{Q}_p}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$, the bijection $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{L}$ sends H to $\mathrm{H}^\perp$, where the perpendicular is taken with respect to the hilbertian pairing $(\ ,\ )_p$, the bijection $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{E}$ sends L to $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{\mathrm{L}})$, and the bijection $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{H}$ sends χ to $\mathrm{Ker}(\chi)/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$.

(186) The unramfied quadratic character ν_p corresponds to $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{u})$ (for $p \neq 2$) and to $\mathbf{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ (for $p = 2$). These quadratic extensions will therefore be called *unramified* (over $\mathbf{Q}_p$). Note that $\mathbf{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ contains $\sqrt{-3}$ and hence a primitive 3-rd root of 1. Similarly, we shall see later for $p \neq 2$ that $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{u})$ contains a primitive (p^2-1)-th root of 1.

(187) Let M be the maximal abelian extension of exponent 2 (or equivalently the compositum of all quadratic extensions) of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Concretely, $\mathrm{M} = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{-p})$ if $p \neq 2$ and $\mathrm{M} = \mathbf{Q}_2(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$ for $p = 2$. Let $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M}|\mathbf{Q}_p)$. As an $\mathbf{F}_2$-space, the dimension of G is 2 (resp. 3), so there are many isomorphisms of G with the group $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$, which has the same $\mathbf{F}_2$-dimension. Among these isomorphisms there is one which is very special.

(188) *There is a unique isomorphism* $\rho_\mathrm{M} : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2} \to \mathrm{G}$ *such that for every quadratic extension* E *of* $\mathbf{Q}_p$*, the kernel of the composite map* $\rho_\mathrm{E} : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_p)$ *is* $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$.

Proof. We have the perfect pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_p : \mathrm{G} \times (\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}) \to \mathbf{Z}^\times$ given by $\langle \sigma, b\rangle = \sigma(\sqrt{b})/\sqrt{b}$ for every $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}$ and every $\bar{b} \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$, so we have the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$. But we have just established (??) the isomorphism $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}, \mathbf{Z}^\times)$, and hence we get an isomorphism $\rho_\mathrm{M} : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2} \to \mathrm{G}$, and it remains to show that ρ_M has the stated property.

This follows from the fact that when we identify these two groups using

ρ_{M}, the kummerian pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_p$ gets converted into the hilbertian pairing $(\ ,\ )_p$, and we have shown (??) that $(a,b)_p = 1$ if and only if a is a norm from the extension $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{b})$. □

(189) Note that the reciprocity isomorphism ρ_{M} gives back the bijection $\mathrm{E} \mapsto \chi$ of (??). Indeed, quadratic extensions of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ correspond to quadratic characters of G and hence (applying ρ_{M}^{-1}) to quadratic characters of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$.

(190) Note also that ρ_{M} respects the natural filtrations on the groups G and $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}$. The filtration on the latter group comes from the filtration $\cdots \mathrm{U}_2 \subset \mathrm{U}_1 \subset \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \subset \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. Concretely, it is the filtration

$$\langle\bar{1}\rangle \subset \langle\bar{u}\rangle \subset \mathbf{Q}_p^\times/\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times 2}, \quad \text{resp. } \langle\bar{1}\rangle \subset \langle\bar{5}\rangle \subset \langle\bar{5},-\bar{1}\rangle \subset \mathbf{Q}_2^\times/\mathbf{Q}_2^{\times 2}$$

for $p \neq 2$ and $p = 2$ respectively. For $p \neq 2$, the filtration on G comes from the tower $\mathrm{M} \mid \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{u}) \mid \mathbf{Q}_p$ of quadratic extensions, whereas for $p = 2$ it comes from the tower $\mathrm{M} \mid \mathbf{Q}_2(\sqrt{5},\sqrt{-1}) \mid \mathbf{Q}_2(\sqrt{5}) \mid \mathbf{Q}_2$. We shall see later that this is the *ramification filtration* on G.

(191) There is a unique isomorphism $\rho_{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{R}^\times/\mathbf{R}^{\times 2} \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{C}|\mathbf{R})$ of groups, and it has the property that $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{\mathbf{C}}) = \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{C}|\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C}^\times)$ analogous to the defining property of ρ_{M} of (??).

(192) What we have achieved might not seem much, but it is rare to be able to compute explicitly, for a given galoisian extension M of a field K, a set of elements $\mathrm{S} \subset \mathrm{M}$ such that $\mathrm{M} = \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{S})$, the group $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M}|\mathrm{K})$, and $\sigma(s)$ for every $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}$ and $s \in \mathrm{S}$. This is what we have done for $\mathrm{K} = \mathbf{Q}_v$ and M the maximal abelian extension of exponent 2. For example, when v is an *odd* prime p, we may take $\mathrm{S} = \{\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{-p}\}$ with $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ not a square (or equivalently $\lambda_p(u) = -1$), we have $\mathrm{G} = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_u, \sigma_p, \sigma_{up}\}$, and the action is given by $\sigma_a(\sqrt{b}) = (a,b)_p.\sqrt{b}$, where $(a,b)_p$ has been computed in (??). A similar statement holds for $v = 2$ or $v = \infty$.

(193) It is a minor miracle — in my view — that whereas ρ_{M} is uniquely determined by imposing the condition $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{\mathrm{E}}) = \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$ on any two (resp. three for $p = 2$) of the three (resp. seven) quadratic extensions E whose compositum is M, this condition is automatically satisfied by the remaining quadratic extension(s). In other words, ρ_{M} is independent of the choice of bases.

(194) One of the main results of the theory of *abelian extensions of local fields* says that for every local field K (of which $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is the first example) and for every $n > 0$, there is a unique isomorphism $\rho : \mathrm{K}^\times/\mathrm{K}^{\times n} \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M}|\mathrm{K})$, where M is the maximal abelian extension of K of exponent dividing n, such that for every abelian extension $\mathrm{E} \mid \mathrm{K}$ of exponent dividing n, the kernel of the resulting composite map $\mathrm{K}^\times \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{E}|\mathrm{K})$ is $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}|\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{E}^\times)$ (and

such that uniformisers correspond to the canonical generator σ of the residual extension, as opposed to its inverse σ^{-1}). We have proved the case $\mathrm{K} = \mathbf{Q}_p$, $n = 2$ (??) (and didn't need to worry about uniformisers because an automorphism of order 2 is its own inverse).

Exercises

(195) Define $\mathrm{B}_k \in \mathbf{Q}$ in terms of the exponential series $e^{\mathrm{T}} = \exp(\mathrm{T})$ by the identity

$$\frac{\mathrm{T}}{e^{\mathrm{T}} - 1} = \mathrm{B}_0 \frac{\mathrm{T}^0}{0!} + \mathrm{B}_1 \frac{\mathrm{T}^1}{1!} + \sum_{k>1} \mathrm{B}_k \frac{\mathrm{T}^k}{k!},$$

so that $\mathrm{B}_0 = 1$ and $\mathrm{B}_1 = -1/2$. Show that $\mathrm{B}_k = 0$ for every odd $k > 1$. (*Hint :* $\mathrm{B}_0 + \mathrm{B}_1\mathrm{T} - \mathrm{T}/(e^{\mathrm{T}} - 1)$ is invariant under $\mathrm{T} \mapsto -\mathrm{T}$.) The purpose of the next few exercises is to show that for every even integer $k > 0$, the number

$$\mathrm{W}_k = \mathrm{B}_k + \sum_{l-1 \mid k} \frac{1}{l}$$

(where l runs through the primes such that $k \equiv 0 \pmod{l-1}$) is in $\mathbf{Z}$ (von Staudt–Clausen, 1840). The idea, due to Witt, is to show that W_k is in $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} = \mathbf{Z}_p \cap \mathbf{Q}$ for every prime p.

(196) For every integer $n > 0$, let $\mathrm{S}_k(n) = 1^k + 2^k + \cdots + (n-1)^k$. Show that $\mathrm{S}_k(n) = \sum_{m \in [0,k]} \binom{k}{m} \frac{\mathrm{B}_m}{k+1-m} n^{k+1-m}$. (Compare the coefficients in

$$1 + e^{\mathrm{T}} + e^{2\mathrm{T}} + \cdots + e^{(n-1)\mathrm{T}} = \frac{e^{n\mathrm{T}} - 1}{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\mathrm{T}}{e^{\mathrm{T}} - 1}.)$$

Conclude that $\lim_{r \to +\infty} \mathrm{S}_k(p^r)/p^r = \mathrm{B}_k$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

(197) Using the fact that every $j \in [0, p^{s+1}[$ can be uniquely written as $j = up^s + v$, where $u \in [0, p[$ and $v \in [0, p^s[$, deduce that

$$\frac{\mathrm{S}_k(p^{s+1})}{p^{s+1}} - \frac{\mathrm{S}_k(p^s)}{p^s} \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

(Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{S}_k(p^{s+1}) &= \sum_{j \in [0, p^{s+1}[} j^k = \sum_{u \in [0,p[} \sum_{v \in [0,p^s[} (up^s + v)^k \\ &\equiv p\big(\sum_v v^k\big) + kp^s\big(\sum_u u \sum_v v^{k-1}\big) \pmod{p^{2s}} \end{aligned}$$

and $2\sum_u u = p(p-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so $\mathrm{S}_k(p^{s+1}) \equiv p\mathrm{S}_k(p^s) \pmod{p^{s+1}}$, where, for $p = 2$, the fact that k is even has been used.) Conclude that

$$\frac{\mathrm{S}_k(p^r)}{p^r} - \frac{\mathrm{S}_k(p^s)}{p^s} \in \mathbf{Z}$$

for any two integers $r > 0$, $s > 0$, and that $\mathrm{B}_k - \mathrm{S}_k(p)/p \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ (Fix $s = 1$, let $r \to +\infty$, and use (??)).

(198) Show that $\mathrm{S}_k(p) \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \\ 0 \pmod{p} & \text{if } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \end{cases}$ (To see that $\sum_{j\in[1,p[} j^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ when $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$, note that, g being a generator of $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$, we have $g^k - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, whereas

$$(g^k-1)\Big(\sum_{j\in[1,p[} j^k\Big) \equiv (g^k-1)\Big(\sum_{t\in[0,p-1[} g^{tk}\Big) \equiv g^{(p-1)k} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.)$$

Conclude that $\mathrm{B}_k + p^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ and that $\mathrm{B}_k \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ otherwise.

(199) In either case, the number W_k (??) is in $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ for every p (One has

$$\mathrm{W}_k = \begin{cases} (\mathrm{B}_k + p^{-1}) + \sum_{l\neq p} l^{-1} & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \\ (\mathrm{B}_k) + \sum_l l^{-1} & \text{if } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \end{cases}$$

where l runs through the primes for which $k \equiv 0 \pmod{l-1}$.) Conclude that $\mathrm{W}_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ (for every even integer $k > 0$).

(200) Let v be a place of $\mathbf{Q}$. A *character* of $\mathbf{Q}_v^\times$ is a continous morphism $\chi : \mathbf{Q}_v^\times \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ of groups ; we say that χ is *unitary* if $\chi(\mathbf{Q}_v^\times) \subset \mathbf{U}$. For every character χ, the character $\chi_1 : x \mapsto \chi(x)/|\chi(x)|_\infty$ is unitary. The purpose of the next few exercises is to define the *local constant* $\mathrm{W}_v(\chi)$.

(201) Every character of $\mathbf{R}^\times$ is uniquely of the form $x \mapsto |x|_\infty^s \lambda_\infty(x)^r$ for some $s \in \mathbf{C}$ and some $r \in \mathbf{F}_2$ (where λ_∞ (??) is the unique quadratic character of $\mathbf{R}^\times$) ; it is unitary if and only if $s \in i\mathbf{R}$. Choose a primitive 4-th root of 1 in $\mathbf{C}$, and define the *local constant* $\mathrm{W}_\infty(\chi) = i^{-r}$ (so that $\mathrm{W}_\infty(\chi) = \mathrm{W}_\infty(\chi_1)$).

(202) Now let p be a prime number and let χ be a character of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. If χ is unramified (???), we put $a(\chi) = 0$. Otherwise let $n > 0$ be the smallest integer such that $\chi(\mathrm{U}_n) = 1$ (???), and put $a(\chi) = n$. The integer $a(\chi)$ is called *the exponent of the conductor* of χ (and the ideal $\mathfrak{f}(\chi) = p^{a(\chi)}\mathbf{Z}_p$ is called *the conductor* of χ). Compute $a(\chi)$ for each of the seven quadratic characters χ (???) of $\mathbf{Q}_2^\times$ and each of the the three quadratic characters χ (???) of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ ($p \neq 2$).

(203) Let χ be a character of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ with associated unitary character χ_1, and choose $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ such that $v_p(\gamma) = a(\chi)$, where $a(\chi)$ (???) is the exponent of the conductor $\mathfrak{f}(\chi)$ of χ (so that $\mathfrak{f}(\chi) = p^{a(\chi)}\mathbf{Z}_p$). Using the notation $\langle\ \rangle_p$ from (???), define *the local constant* as

$$\mathrm{W}_p(\chi) = \frac{\chi_1(\gamma)}{\sqrt{p}^{a(\chi)}} \sum_{x \in (\mathbf{Z}_p/\mathfrak{f}(\chi))^\times} \chi^{-1}(x) e^{2i\pi\langle x/\gamma\rangle_p}.$$

Show that $\mathrm{W}_p(\chi)$ does not depend on the choice of γ and compute it for each of the seven quadratic characters χ (???) of $\mathbf{Q}_2^\times$ and each of the the three quadratic characters χ (???) of $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ $(p \neq 2)$.

(204) Let d be a squarefree integer. For each place v of $\mathbf{Q}$, we have the extension $\mathbf{Q}_v(\sqrt{d})$ of $\mathbf{Q}_v$ of degree 1 or 2, and hence (???) a character χ_v of $\mathbf{Q}_v^\times$ of order dividing 2. Show that $\mathrm{W}_v(\chi_v) = 1$ for almost all v, and $\prod_v \mathrm{W}_v(\chi_v) = 1$. (Use induction on the number of prime divisors of d.)

Lecture 7

| |

(205) We started with the quadratic reciprocity law (??) which asserts that

$$\lambda_p(-1) = \lambda_4(p), \quad \lambda_p(2) = \lambda_8(p), \quad \text{and } \lambda_p(q) = \lambda_q(\lambda_4(p)p)$$

for any two distinct odd prime numbers p and q. We then reformulated it as a product formula $\prod_v (a,b)_v = 1$ (??), where v runs over all *places* of $\mathbf{Q}$, namely the prime numbers and also the archimedean place ∞, and $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$. Finally, for each v, we've understood the factor $(a,b)_v$ (which actually makes sense for all $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}_v$) in terms of a canonical isomorphism $\rho : \mathbf{Q}_v^\times/\mathbf{Q}_v^{\times 2} \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M}_v|\mathbf{Q}_v)$, where M_v is the maximal abelian extension of exponent 2 of $\mathbf{Q}_v$ (??). But it is still mysterious as to why the product in (??) extends over all prime numbers and the symbol ∞. In other words, we have to give a more intrinsic *definition* of a place of $\mathbf{Q}$, instead of merely *declaring* that the primes and ∞ are the places of $\mathbf{Q}$.

(206) Let k be a field and denote its multiplicative group by $k^\times$. The multiplicative group of strictly positive reals is denoted $\mathbf{R}_+^\times$; it is a totally ordered group. A *norm* | | on k is a homomorphism | | : $k^\times \to \mathbf{R}_+^\times$ such that *the trinagular inequality*

$$|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$$

holds for every $x, y \in k$, with the convention that $|0| = 0$. A norm is called *trivial* if the image of $k^\times$ is $\{1\}$, *essential* otherwise. A norm is called *unarchimedean* if *the ultrametric inequality* $|x + y| \leq \mathrm{Sup}(|x|, |y|)$ holds for all $x, y \in k$, *archimedean* otherwise.

(207) If $\zeta \in k^\times$ has finite order, then $|\zeta| = 1$, for 1 is the only element of finite order in $\mathbf{R}^{\times\circ}$. Consequently, every norm on a finite field is trivial.

(208) We have the usual norm $|x|_\infty = \mathrm{Sup}(x, -x)$ on the field $\mathbf{R}$; it is archimedean. Let p be a prime number. We have the p-adic norm $|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}$ (??) on the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$; it is unarchimedean.

(209) Two norms | $|_1$, | $|_2$ on k are called *equivalent* if there exists a real $\gamma > 0$ such that | $|_1 =$ | $|_2^\gamma$.

(210) *For every norm* | | *and every* $x, y \in k$, *we have*

$$\big|\, |x| - |y| \,\big|_\infty \leq |x - y|.$$

Proof. As $y = x + (y - x)$, we have $|y| \leq |x| + |y - x|$. Similarly, $|x| \leq |y| + |x - y|$. But $|y - x| = |x - y|$, hence the result. □

(211) *Let $|\ |$ be an unarchimedean norm, and $x, y \in k$ be such that $|x| < |y|$. Then $|x + y| = |y|$.*

Proof. We have $|x + y| \leq \mathrm{Sup}(|x|, |y|) = |y|$. On the other hand, $y = (x + y) + (-x)$, so $|y| \leq \mathrm{Sup}(|x + y|, |x|)$, and this Sup cannot be $|x|$ by hypothesis. □

(212) *A norm $|\ |$ is unarchimedean if and only if $|\iota(n)| \leq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $\iota(n) \in k$ is the image of n.*

Proof. If $|\ |$ is unarchimedean, then $|\iota(n)| \leq 1$ by induction. Conversely, suppose that $|\iota(n)| \leq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $x, y \in k$, and let $s > 0$ be an integer. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |x + y|^s &= \left| \sum_{r \in [0,s]} \binom{s}{r} x^r y^{s-r} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{r \in [0,s]} \left| \binom{s}{r} \right| |x|^r \, |y|^{s-r} \\ &\leq \sum_{r \in [0,s]} |x|^r \, |y|^{s-r} \\ &\leq (s + 1) \, \mathrm{Sup}(|x|, |y|)^s. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the s-th root and letting $s \to +\infty$, we get $|x + y| \leq \mathrm{Sup}(|x|, |y|)$, as required. □

(213) *If the restriction of $|\ |$ to a subfield is unarchimedean, then so is $|\ |$. Every norm on a field of characteristic $\neq 0$ is unarchimedean.*

Proof. The first statement follows from (??). The second statement also follows because that the restriction of $|\ |$ to the prime subfield is trivial (??). □

(214) A *valuation* v on k is a homomorphism $v : k^\times \to \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$v(x + y) \geq \mathrm{Inf}(v(x), v(y))$$

for every $x, y \in k$, with the convention that $v(0) = +\infty$. A valuation v is said to be *trivial* if $v(k^\times) = \{0\}$, of *height* 1 otherwise. A height-1 valuation v is called *discrete* if the subgroup $v(k^\times) \subset \mathbf{R}$ is discrete. A discrete valuation v is said to be *normalised* if $v(k^\times) = \mathbf{Z}$.

(215) Notice that if $v(x) < v(y)$, then $v(x + y) = v(x)$. The proof is the same as that for (??).

(216) For every prime p, we have the p-adic valuation v_p (??) on the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$; it is discrete and normalised.

(217) Two valuations v_1, v_2 are called *equivalent* if there exists a real $\gamma > 0$ such that $v_1 = \gamma v_2$.

(218) If v is a height-1 valuation on k, then $|x|_v = \exp(-v(x))$ is an essential unarchimedean norm, and conversely, if $|\ |$ is an essential unarchimedean norm, then $v_{|\ |}(x) = -\log|x|$ is a height-1 valuation. Equivalent valuations correspond to equivalent (unarchimedean) norms.

(219) (Ostrowski, 1918) *Let $|\ |$ be a norm on the field $\mathbf{Q}$ of rational numbers. Then $|\ |$ is either trivial, or equivalent to the archimedean norm $|\ |_\infty$, or equivalent to the p-adic norm $|\ |_p$ for some prime p.*

Proof (Artin, 1932). Clearly $|\ |$ is trivial if $|p| = 1$ for every prime p (because the group $\mathbf{Q}^\times$ is generated by the set of primes and -1). Assume that $|p| \neq 1$ for some prime p. We shall show that if $|p| > 1$, then $|l| > 1$ for every prime l and that $|\ |$ is equivalent to $|\ |_\infty$. On the other hand, if $|p| < 1$, then $|l| = 1$ for every prime $l \neq p$, and that $|\ |$ is equivalent to $|\ |_p$.

For the time being, let p and l be any two integers > 1, and write p as

$$p = a_0 + a_1 l + a_2 l^2 + \cdots + a_n l^n$$

in base l, with digits $a_i \in [0, l[$ and $l^n \leq p$, i.e., $n \leq \alpha$, with $\alpha = \dfrac{\log p}{\log l}$. The triangular inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|p| &\leq |a_0| + |a_1||l| + |a_2||l|^2 + \cdots + |a_n||l|^n \\
&\leq (|a_0| + |a_1| + |a_2| + \cdots + |a_n|)\ \mathrm{Sup}(1, |l|^n) \\
&\leq (1+n)d\ \mathrm{Sup}(1, |l|^n) \qquad (\text{with } d = \mathrm{Sup}(|0|, |1|, \ldots, |l-1|) \\
&\leq (1+\alpha)d\ \mathrm{Sup}(1, |l|^\alpha) \qquad (\text{since } n \leq \alpha)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Replace p by p^s and extract the s-th root to get

$$|p| \leq (1 + s\alpha)^{1/s}\, d^{1/s}\, \mathrm{Sup}\,(1, |l|^\alpha)\,,$$

so that we obtain the estimate $|p| \leq \mathrm{Sup}\,(1, |l|^\alpha)$ upon letting $s \to +\infty$.

Now suppose that p and l are prime numbers and that $|p| > 1$. As $1 < |p| \leq \mathrm{Sup}\,(1, |l|^\alpha)$, we see that $|l|^\alpha > 1$ and hence $|l| > 1$ and $|p| \leq |l|^\alpha$. Interchanging the role of p and l, we deduce $|p| = |l|^\alpha$.

Defining γ (> 0) by the equation $|p| = |p|_\infty^\gamma$ for the fixed prime p, we see that $|l| = |l|_\infty^\gamma$ for every prime l, and hence $|x| = |x|_\infty^\gamma$ for every $x \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$, i.e., $|\ |$ is equivalent to the archimedean absolute value $|\ |_\infty$.

Finally, assume that $|p| < 1$ for some prime p. We have already seen that then $|l| \leq 1$ for every prime l, and hence $|n| \leq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let us show that $|l| = 1$ for every prime $l \neq p$. For every integer $s > 0$, writing $1 = a_s p + b_s l^s$ $(a_s, b_s \in \mathbf{Z})$, we have

$$1 = |1| \leq |a_s|\,|p| + |b_s|\,|l|^s \leq |p| + |l|^s,$$

i.e., $|l|^s \geq 1 - |p|$. This is possible for all integers $s > 0$ only if $|l| = 1$. This shows that $|\ \ |$ is equivalent to $|\ \ |_p$. □

(220) A *place* of k is an equivalent class of essential norms on k. The foregoing theorem asserts that places of $\mathbf{Q}$ correspond naturally to the set $\bar{\mathrm{P}}$ of prime numbers (the unarchimedean places) together with ∞, the archimedean place. The following theorem, called the product formula, is another piece of evidence for $\bar{\mathrm{P}}$ being the set of all places of $\mathbf{Q}$.

(221) *For every $x \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$, one has $|x|_v = 1$ for almost all $v \in \bar{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\prod_{v \in \bar{\mathrm{P}}} |x|_v = 1$.*

Proof. Indeed, it is sufficient to verify this for $x = -1$ and for $x = p$ (where p is a prime number). Note that for the product formula to hold, we have to normalise the norms on $\mathbf{Q}$ suitably. □

(222) *If the image of a norm $|\ \ | : k^\times \to \mathbf{R}_+^\times$ is discrete, then $|\ \ |$ is unarchimedean.*

Proof. If k has characteristic $\neq 0$, then every norm is unarchimedean (??), so there is nothing to prove. If k has characteristic 0, then the restriction of $|\ \ |$ to $\mathbf{Q}$ has discrete image by hypothesis, so must be trivial or equivalent to $|\ \ |_p$ for some prime p (??). Hence $|\ \ |$ is unarchimedean (??). □

Exercises

(223) Let k be a field and put $\mathrm{K} = k(\mathrm{T})$. Recall that the group $\mathrm{K}^\times / k^\times$ is the free commutative group on the set P_K of monic irreducible polynomials f in $k[\mathrm{T}]$. For each $f \in \mathrm{P}_\mathrm{K}$, let $v_f : \mathrm{K}^\times \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the unique homomorphism which is trivial on $k^\times$, sends f to 1, and sends every other element of P_K to 0. Check that v_f is a discrete valuation. Also, the map $v_\infty : \mathrm{K}^\times \to \mathbf{Z}$ which sends a to $-\deg(a)$ is a discrete valuation, trivial on $k^\times$. The discrete valuations v_∞, v_f (for varying $f \in \mathrm{P}_\mathrm{K}$) are mutually inequivalent.

(224) Up to equivalence, the only height-1 valuations on $k(\mathrm{T})$, trivial on k, are v_∞ and the v_f, one for each $f \in \mathrm{P}_\mathrm{K}$. (Let v be a height-1 valuation on $k(\mathrm{T})$, trivial on k. We will show that if $v(\mathrm{T}) < 0$, then v is equivalent to v_∞, whereas if $v(\mathrm{T}) \geq 0$, then there is a unique $f \in \mathrm{P}_\mathrm{K}$ with $v(f) > 0$ and v is equivalent to v_f.

Suppose that $v(\mathrm{T}) < 0$. It is sufficient to show that $v(f) = v(\mathrm{T})\deg(f)$ for every $f \in \mathrm{P_K}$. This is clearly true for $f = \mathrm{T}$. For any other f, write $f = \mathrm{T}^n(1 + \alpha_1\mathrm{T}^{-1} + \cdots + \alpha_n\mathrm{T}^{-n})$ (with $n = \deg(f)$ and $\alpha_i \in k$, at least one of them $\neq 0$). As $v(1) = 0$ and $v(\alpha_1\mathrm{T}^{-1} + \cdots + \alpha_n\mathrm{T}^{-n}) > 0$, we have $v(1 + \alpha_1\mathrm{T}^{-1} + \cdots + \alpha_n\mathrm{T}^{-n}) = 0$, and, finally, $v(f) = v(\mathrm{T})\deg(f)$, i.e. v is equivalent to v_∞.

Suppose now that $v(\mathrm{T}) \geq 0$; then $v(a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in k[\mathrm{T}]$. If we had $v(f) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathrm{P_K}$, the valuation v would be trivial, not of height 1. Pick $p \in \mathrm{P_K}$ for which $v(p) > 0$. For every $q \neq p$ in $\mathrm{P_K}$, write $1 = ap + bq$ $(a, b \in k[\mathrm{T}])$. We have

$$0 = v(1) \geq \mathrm{Inf}\,(v(a) + v(p), v(b) + v(q)) \geq \mathrm{Inf}\,(v(p), v(q)) \geq 0,$$

which is possible only if $v(q) = 0$. It follows that v is equivalent to v_p. It is instructive to compare this proof with Artin's proof classifying absolute values on $\mathbf{Q}$.)

(225) Up to equivalence, the only norms on $k(\mathrm{T})$, trivial on k, are $|\ |_\infty$ and the $|\ |_f$, one for each $f \in \mathrm{P_K}$. (Put $\bar{\mathrm{P}}_\mathrm{K} = \mathrm{P_K} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\deg(\infty) = 1$. For each $p \in \bar{\mathrm{P}}_\mathrm{K}$, define the norm $|x|_p = e^{-v_p(x)\deg(p)}$.)

(226) For every $x \in k(\mathrm{T})^\times$, one has $|x|_p = 1$ for almost all $p \in \bar{\mathrm{P}}_\mathrm{K}$ and $\prod_{p \in \bar{\mathrm{P}}_\mathrm{K}} |x|_p = 1$. (This is clearly true for $x \in k^\times$, so it is sufficient to check this for $x \in \mathrm{P_K}$. We have $v_\infty(x) = -\deg(x)$, $v_x(x) = 1$, and $v_q(x) = 0$ for every $q \neq x$ in $\mathrm{P_K}$, which gives the "sum formula" $\sum_{p \in \bar{\mathrm{P}}_\mathrm{K}} \deg(p) v_p(x) = 0$. The result follows from this upon exponentiating. Note that here too, as in the case of $\mathbf{Q}$ earlier, it is necessary to normalise the absolute values suitably for the product formula to hold.)

Lecture 8

$$ax^2 + by^2 = 1$$

(227) Information gleaned locally at each place v of $\mathbf{Q}$ (namely in the fields $\mathbf{Q}_v$) can often be pieced together to get a global result (about the field $\mathbf{Q}$). The simplest such example is the main result (???) of this lecture. It is further evidence for the fact that we have found the right notion of a place of $\mathbf{Q}$.

(228) We first need a simple lemma for the proof. Let k be any field, and $a, b, c \in k^\times$, $d \in k$ such that $d^2 - a = bc$. Let S be the set of $(x, y, s) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ in k^3 such that $ax^2 + by^2 = s^2$ and let T be the set of $(w, z, t) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ in k^3 such that $aw^2 + cz^2 = t^2$. It is easy to see that if $(x, y, s) \in \mathrm{S}$, then $(dx + s, by, ax + ds) \in \mathrm{T}$ and if $(w, z, t) \in \mathrm{T}$, then $(dw - t, cz, -aw + dt) \in \mathrm{S}$.

(229) *The maps* $\mathrm{S} \to \mathrm{T}$ *and* $\mathrm{T} \to \mathrm{S}$ *defined above are bijections, reciprocal to each other.* □

(230) *Let* $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$. *For there to exist* $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}$ *such that* $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$, *it is necessary and sufficient that* $(a, b)_v = 1$ *for every place* v *of* $\mathbf{Q}$.

(231) The local conditions ($(a, b)_v = 1$ for every v) are clearly necessary (??). Let us show that they are also sufficient. So assume that $(a, b)_v = 1$ for every place v.

(232) The existence of $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$ is unaffected if we replace a, b by ac^2, bd^2 for some $c, d \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$; also, $(ac^2, bd^2)_v = (a, b)_v$ for every v. We may therefore assume that a and b are squarefree integers, and proceed by induction on $|a|_\infty + |b|_\infty$.

(233) If either $a = 1$ or $b = 1$, then we have the solution $(x, y) = (1, 0)$ or $(x, y) = (0, 1)$, as the case may be. If $|a|_\infty + |b|_\infty = 2$, then we must have $|a|_\infty = 1$ and $|b|_\infty = 1$, and either $a = 1$ or $b = 1$ (since $(a, b)_\infty = 1$), hence there is a solution (x, y), as we have just seen.

(234) Suppose that $|a|_\infty + |b|_\infty > 2$, and assume (up to interchaning a and b) that $|a|_\infty \leq |b|_\infty$. Let us first show that the local conditions force the existence of an $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $a \equiv d^2 \pmod{b}$. As b is squarefree, it is sufficient to show that $\lambda_p(a) = 1$ (unless $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$) for every *odd* prime divisor $p|b$. But $\lambda_p(a) = (a, b)_p = 1$, where the first equality holds because $v_p(b) = 1$ (??). So the existence of d is guaranteed, and we may further assume that $d \in [0, |b|_\infty/2]$.

(235) Put $d^2 - a = bc$ for some $c \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $c = 0$, then we have the solution

$(x,y) = (d^{-1}, 0)$. Suppose that $c \neq 0$; then

$$|c|_\infty = \left|\frac{d^2-a}{b}\right|_\infty \leq \left|\frac{d^2}{b}\right|_\infty + \left|\frac{a}{b}\right|_\infty \leq \frac{|b|_\infty}{4} + 1 < |b|_\infty,$$

where the last inequality holds since $|b|_\infty > 1$.

(236) But we have seen (??) that, over any field k, the existence of a solution $(x,y) \in k^2$ of $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$ is equivalent to the existence of a solution $(w,z) \in k^2$ of $aw^2 + cz^2 = 1$, for any given $a, b, c \in k^\times$ and $d \in k$ such that $d^2 - a = bc$.

(237) Let's return to our a, b, c, d from (??), and write $c = ef^2$ for some $e, f \in \mathbf{Z}$ of which e is squarefree, and note that $|e|_\infty < |b|_\infty$. The proof is therefore over by the inductive hypothesis, since $|a|_\infty + |e|_\infty < |a|_\infty + |b|_\infty$. Indeed, as there are local solutions for $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$ at every place of $\mathbf{Q}$ by hypothesis, there are local solutions everywhere for $aw^2 + cz^2 = 1$ (applying (??) to $k = \mathbf{Q}_v$) and hence for $aw^2 + et^2 = 1$, and therefore a global solution for $aw^2 + et^2 = 1$ (the inductive step, to apply which we changed c into the squarefree e) and hence for $aw^2 + cz^2 = 1$, and therefore a global solution for $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$ (applying (??) with $k = \mathbf{Q}$). □

(238) *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}_v^\times$ and $r \in \mathbf{Q}_v$ be such that $r^2 - a = bc$. Then $(a,b)_v = (a,c)_v$. In particualr, $(r^2 - a, a)_v = 1$.*

Proof. ??? Notice that for $r = 0$ and $r = 1$, we recover the relations $(-a, a)_v = 1$ and $(1-a, a)_v = 1$ proved earlier. □

(239) *Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$. Then $a \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{b})|\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{b})^\times)$ if and only if $a \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{Q}_v(\sqrt{b})|\mathbf{Q}_v}(\mathbf{Q}_v(\sqrt{b})^\times)$ for every place v of $\mathbf{Q}$.*

Proof. ??? This is expressed by saying that a is a norm from the extension $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{b})$ if and only if it is everywhere locally a norm. □

Exercises

(240) (Legendre) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$ be integers such that $abc \neq 0$ is squarefree, and suppose that a, b, c are not all three of the same sign. Then $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ has a solution $(x,y,z) \neq (0,0,0)$ in $\mathbf{Z}^3$ if and only if $-bc$, $-ca$, $-ab$ are squares $(\mathrm{mod.}\, a)$, $(\mathrm{mod.}\, b)$, $(\mathrm{mod.}\, c)$ respectively.

(241) The law of quadratic reciprocity (??) was not used in the proof of Legendre's theorem (??). Criticise the following purported proof of the said law from this theorem : We consider eight cases according to the signs of $\lambda_4(p)$, $\lambda_4(q)$ and $\lambda_q(p)$ (as Gauß did in his first proof). In each case, we apply (??) to a suitable triple (a,b,c) such that $a \equiv b \equiv c \equiv 1 \ (\mathrm{mod.}\, 4)$

(conditions which force $ax^2+by^2+cz^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, cf. (??)) to determine $\lambda_p(q)$. For example, here are some cases :

i) If $\lambda_4(p) = 1$, $\lambda_4(q) = -1$ and $\lambda_q(p) = -1$, we consider the triple $(a, b, c) = (1, p, -q)$ and conclude that $\lambda_p(q) = -1$, as required.

ii) Similarly, if $\lambda_4(p) = -1$, $\lambda_4(q) = -1$ and $\lambda_q(p) = 1$, we take $(a, b, c) = (1, -p, -q)$.

iii) Now consider the case $\lambda_4(p) = -1$, $\lambda_4(q) = -1$, $\lambda_q(p) = -1$, let l be an auxillary prime such that $\lambda_4(l) = 1, \lambda_p(l) = -1$, $\lambda_q(l) = -1$, and take $(a, b, c) = (l, -p, -q)$ to conclude that $\lambda_p(q) = 1$.

iv) In case $\lambda_4(p) = 1$ and $\lambda_4(q) = 1$, consider an auxillary prime l such that $\lambda_4(l) = -1$, $\lambda_q(l) = 1$, $\lambda_l(p) = -1$, and take $(a, b, c) = (p, q, -l)$.

—∗—∗—

Reference

[**1**] Tate (John). — *Problem 9 : The general reciprocity law,* in Mathematical developments arising from Hilbert problems (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Northern Illinois Univ., De Kalb, Ill., 1974), pp. 311–322. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1976.